



## **A STUDY ON CONSUMER SATISFACTION ON HOUSEHOLD SOLAR ROOFTOP PRODUCTS IN KANNUR DISTRICT, KERALA**

**Muneer K. K** Research Scholar, PG & Research Department of Commerce, Kongu College of Arts and Science, Vennaimalai post, Karur, Tamilnadu<sup>1</sup>

**Dr. Chi. Nanjappa** Head, PG & Research Department of Commerce, Kongu College of Arts and Science, Vennaimalai post, Karur, Tamilnadu<sup>2</sup>

### **Abstract**

The cost of fossil fuels is increasing and hence there is a need to tap alternate sources of energy. The spread of the use of solar rooftop power systems has not achieved the requisite momentum in the state of Kerala. It is necessary for the region to tap the potential of solar energy. Hence it is highly essential to study the consumer experience and the level of satisfaction of the present users. This will be an eye opener to others, so as to get motivated to look into alternate sources of energy in the present-day environment even though the major constraint is the initial investment. This study aimed to examine the customer satisfaction in using solar rooftop Photo-Voltaic (PV) products considering the customer profile & economic background in Kerala's Kannur electricity market using primary survey data with potential and existing household respondents. This research uses LMR (Logit Model Regression) to analyze the impact of the demographic background of respondents and uses EFA (Exploratory Factor Analysis) to understand the reasons why the existing users utilize solar rooftop at their houses. The results show that income, residence location & education can significantly & positively affect the probability of using household solar rooftop products as the electricity source. Then, there are several factors that influence the use of solar rooftop, such as easily finding it in their area, having concern for the environment following loyalty & trends. Some disadvantages of installing solar rooftop are felt by users, such as frequent overheating & relatively high installation cost during usage. Regarding customer satisfaction, most of the respondents from households answered that they were satisfied with their solar rooftop products. Consumers say that the benefits they obtain are comparable to the required installation costs, and the majority of consumers also said that the solar rooftop worked well and did not need many repairs every month, so consumers did not need to spend significant money on it.


*Keywords: Solar rooftop PV; Kannur, Kerala, electricity market; household*

### **1. Introduction**

Climate change has become a concern of the global community, and the Paris agreement gathers nations to reduce global greenhouse gas emissions in the effort to limit global temperature rise below 2 degrees Celsius or further 1.5 degrees Celsius (UN website). One of the prominent ways to achieve this target is to reduce the use of fossil fuel and utilize a low emissions source in energy sectors, as emissions from this sector are projected to contribute more than any other land-based sectors in 2030 (Hidayatno et al. 2020). This effort looks promising as, in 2020, renewables accounted for more than 80% of power capacity addition, of which more than 90% of that capacity is for wind and solar energy including rooftop solar PV (IRENA 2019).

Rooftop solar PV is expected to play an important role in reducing greenhouse emissions from energy sectors in the future especially with sufficient policies and supportive measures (IRENA 2019). While, in developed countries, rooftop solar has significant capacity contribution in their electricity system (i.e., Australia with 14.7 GW rooftop PV compared to 32 GW peak load), developing countries such as Indonesia still have low rooftop solar capacity compared to their peak electricity load.

Nevertheless, the progress on rooftop PV adoption in Indonesia looks promising, and the installation number jumped by more than 700% from 2018 to late 2020, as shown in Figure 1.



**Figure 1:** The number of rooftop PV customers in Indonesia per August 2020 ([PLN 2020](#))

In general, high uptakes of residential rooftop PV are mainly supported by government support such as subsidies, as suggested by LekT AL. (2020). In addition to that, several factors also affect people installing rooftop PV, such as expanded awareness of energy use, bill thrift, perceivability of the home exterior as a societal position symbo, and environmental advantages of diminishing nearby contamination. One interesting finding in Sri Lanka suggests that middle-aged inhabitants who are educated as well as retirees also have the tendency to install rooftop PV at their households (Jayaweera et al. 2018).

On the opposite side, there are also barriers that make people reluctant to install rooftop PV, such as high real and perceived initial costs incurred to install and the quality of the PV system (Hammerle et al., 2023); inconsistency of policy, which may cause uncertainty for electricity bills (Do et al., 2020); lack of knowledge about PV technologies and risks associated with the technology (Joshi et al., 2019); and a poor view of the aesthetic on the rooftop for certain house types (Celadyn et al., 2020). In addition, the trust issue and inadequate nature of the contractor worker labor force also discourage homeowners from purchasing a solar PV rooftop (Tushar et al. 2021).

In the light of supporting rooftop PV uptakes in Indonesia as one of the ways to achieve the Kannur renewable energy target of 23% in 2025, several studies have been carried out regarding rooftop PV, including calculation of grid parity for rooftop PV (Haryadi et al. 2019), the effects of PV penetrations on the electricity grid (Tambunan et al. 2020), and evaluation of current policies and the suggestion to increase PV adoption (Hidayatno et al. 2020). However, it is still hard to find research that specifically describes rooftop PV customers' background, and reasons for Kannur customers to adopt or not to adopt rooftop PV.

This study aims to examine how interested Kannur customers are in installing rooftop PV together with the background of the interested customers. Reasons for installing and not installing rooftop PV are also examined to evaluate the determinant factor of PV installation for Kannur customers.

## 1.1 Contributions

The novel contributions and objectives of this study are:

1. To examine the customer satisfaction in using solar rooftop Photo-Voltaic (PV) products considering the customer profile & economic background in Kerala's Kannur electricity market using primary survey data with potential and existing household respondents.



2. To understand the reasons why the existing users utilize solar rooftop at their houses using LMR (Logit Model Regression) to analyze the impact of the demographic background of respondents and uses EFA (Exploratory Factor Analysis)

### 1.2 Organization of paper

This paper is organized as follows: **Section 1** provides the background and importance of the study, **Section 2** explains the data collected and research methods, **Section 3** provides the results of data analysis together with the associated discussions, and finally, **Section 4** concludes the paper.

## 2. Data and Research Method

### 2.1. Data

We used primary data through conducting a survey of the existing and potential rooftop PV users in 2020 in 4 towns of Kannur. Thalassery, Payyanur, Taliparamba, Mattannur.

We performed a survey on these areas based on data recorded around 92.64% or 2355 out of 2542 rooftop PV users. Using a 95% significance level and a 6% margin error from 117 million people in the areas, we obtained a recommended sample size of 267. However, we obtained potential users in order to analyze the impact of demographic background on the probability of respondents that are interested in installing rooftop PV in their homes. Meanwhile, using the 95% significance level and 10% margin error from 2355 rooftop PV users, the sample size recommended was 93, and we obtained 121 respondents of rooftop PV users in order to know the reasons for using rooftop PV.

### 2.2. Research Method

This study aimed to examine the customer satisfaction in using solar rooftop Photo-Voltaic (PV) products considering the customer profile & economic background in Kerala's Kannur electricity market using primary survey data with potential and existing household respondents. This research uses LMR (Logit Model Regression) to analyze the impact of the demographic background of respondents and uses EFA (Exploratory Factor Analysis) to understand the reasons why the existing users utilize solar rooftop at their houses.

This research uses quantitative approaches, i.e., logit model, factor analysis, and descriptive statistics. The logit model is utilized to analyze the impact of the demographic background of respondents on the probability of utilizing rooftop PV at their homes. The exploratory factor analysis (EFA) is used to understand the reasons why the existing users utilize rooftop PV at their homes. Meanwhile, we used descriptive statistics to understand the demographic background and the existing users' satisfaction in installing rooftop PV.

Logistic regression is helpful to predict the likelihood that an event will happen using data or characteristics that are believed to be identified with or impact such events ( Ali et al. 2019). Logistic regression can show which of the different factors being assessed has the strongest association with a result and gives a measure of the magnitude of the potential impact and also has the ability to "adjust" for confounding factors, i.e., factors that are associated with both other indicator variables and the result, so the proportion of the influence of the predictor of interest is not distorted by the impact of the confounder ( McKenna et al. 2022). In this study, respondents interested in using rooftop PV have a value of 1, and respondents who are not interested in rooftop PV have 0.

Logit represents the percentage of log-odds change or odds ratio for increasing x variables or independent variables. Generally, if we take the antilog of the independent variables slope coefficient, deduct 1 from it, and multiply the outcome by 100, we will obtain the percent change in the odds for a unit increase in the regressor (Murthy et al. 2019). Furthermore, in order to compute the probability of installing the rooftop PV through its marginal effect, logit equations are as follows:



$$L_i = \ln \frac{P_i}{1 - P_i} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 Age_i + \beta_3 Gender_i + \beta_4 Educ_i + \beta_5 Loc_i + \beta_6 House_i + \beta_7 Electric_i + \beta_8 Occup_i + \beta_9 LnIncome_i + \mu_i \quad (1)$$

On the equations above, the dependent variables show public interest in using rooftop PV. In contrast, independent variables are *Age*, *Gender*, educational levels (*Educ*), location (*Loc*), size of house (*House*), electrical power (*Electric*), occupation (*Occup*), and income (in natural logarithm).

Furthermore, in order to investigate the reasons why the existing users use rooftop PV, we utilize EFA. According to Mabel et al. (2019), EFA is utilized to identify the component of the underlying factors. In terms of the factor extraction method, we used principal component analysis (PCA) with varimax rotation. This is because it can optimize the combination of indicators on one factor, so the explanation about the component of the factor becomes clear. We also used the scree cut-off points in determining the total factor according to Ng et al. (2021), extracting the eigenvalue of the factors that are more than 1 (one) according to the Kaiser rule (Mambwe et al. 2021). Moreover, the Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) test was also utilized to determine the appropriateness of data for analysis (Effendi et al. 2019).

We asked 23 questions to the existing users regarding the reasons for using rooftop PV. They answered according to the Likert scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). The motive statements included (1) wanting to reduce the air pollution, (2) wanting to contribute to conserving non-renewable fossil energy, (3) wanting to save the foreign exchange reserve, (4) wanting to implement Green Energy, (5) because solar power is a sustainable source of energy, (6) still using rooftop PV even though they know it is expensive, (7) still using rooftop PV even though they know it is currently still imported from abroad, (8) wanting to be the person/industry who started the movement for the use of rooftop PV in Kannur, (9) wanting to give an example to their community of the benefits of rooftop PV, (10) wanting to influence their community to be interested in installing rooftop PV, (11) wanting to follow the current trend, (12) because it is easy to find it in their area, (13) because it is in accordance with modern society, (14) because it reflects the culture in their neighborhood, (15) because it is considered the technology that is popular today, (16) because the surrounding society is used to using it, (17) due to the influence of friends/other industries, (18) because it is influenced by their role and status in society, (19) because of adjusting the budget, (20) because it adapts to the economic situation, (21) because it reflects self-identity, (22) because it is practical and easy to find, and (23) because of the perception of advertising.

### 3. Empirical Results

#### 3.1. The Preference of Potential Respondents in Installing Rooftop PV

Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics of the potential users of rooftop PV. Respondents are from four towns of Kannur. There were 100 respondents from Thalassery, 98 from Payyanur, 77 from Taliparamba, and 6 from Mattannur. From those 281 respondents, the average age is 38.52, with the lowest age being 17 years and the highest age being 72 years. The gender variable has an average value of 0.63, which means that the number of male respondents is more than female. The educational variables are categorical, namely, 0 = others; 1 = graduated from Junior High School; 2 = graduated from Senior High School; and 3 = graduated from Higher Education. The average education of respondents is 2.50, meaning that most respondents have a high level of education.

**Table 1:** Descriptive statistics of the potential users of rooftop PV



Variables	N	Mean	Std. Dev	Min	Max
Age	281	38.52	12.19	17	72
Gender	281	0.630	0.484	0	1
Education	281	2.509	0.655	0	3
Location	281	0.128	0.335	0	1
House area	281	1.302	1.197	0	4
Electrical capacity limit	281	0.214	0.475	0	2
Occupation	281	1.854	1.596	0	4
Dummy interest using rooftop PV (1 = interest)	281	0.783	0.413	0	1
Natural logarithm of income	281	15.55	0.840	13.12	18.42

Other variables, such as the location of residence, are dummy variables, which are 0 when they live in the city center and suburbs and 1 when they live outside the city. Similarly, the variable of interest in using rooftop PV, a dummy variable, is worth 1 when interested in rooftop PV and 0 when not interested in using rooftop PV. The house area variable is also categorical, namely 0 = less than 100 m<sup>2</sup>; 1 = 100–200 m<sup>2</sup>; 2 = 201–300 m<sup>2</sup>; 3 = 301–400 m<sup>2</sup>; and 4 = more than 400 m<sup>2</sup>. The average size of the house is 1.30, which means that the average size of the respondent’s house is in the category of more than 100–200 m<sup>2</sup>. The electrical capacity of respondents is divided into three categories, namely 0 = 450 volt-ampere (VA) up to 2200 VA (R-1); 1 = 3500 VA up to 5500 VA (R-2); and 3 = 6600 VA and above (R-3). That classification is because, in Kannur, we use VA as the unit of electrical capacity of the house installed. The average power capacity limit of the respondents is 0.21, meaning that the average electric power used is more than 2200 VA (R-1). Respondents’ occupations were also divided into several categories, including: 1 = private employee; 2 = civil servants/police/army; 3 = state-owned enterprises; 4 = entrepreneurs; and 0 = others. The job variable has an average value of 1.85 with a standard deviation of 1.59. On the other hand, the natural logarithm of the gross monthly income variable has an average value of 15.55 with a minimum value of 13.12 and a maximum value of 18.42.

Furthermore, in order to analyze factors influencing the potential customers’ preference in installing rooftop PV, we used the logit estimation method. The logit estimate shows the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable, although the coefficient cannot be interpreted directly (Ajija et al. 2021). The impact of independent variables on dependent variables can be analyzed from the odds ratio indicating two opportunities, i.e., interested in using rooftop PV or not.

Table 2: Logit estimation, odds ratio, and marginal effect			
Variable Dependent	Variable Independent (1 = Interest Using Rooftop PV)		
	Logit	Odds Ratio	Marginal Effect



**Table 2:** Logit estimation, odds ratio, and marginal effect

Variable Dependent	Variable Independent (1 = Interest Using Rooftop PV)		
	Logit	Odds Ratio	Marginal Effect
Constant	-13.90 ***	$9.20 \times 10^{-7}$ ***	-
	-3.992	$-3.67 \times 10^{-6}$	-
Age	0.00905	1.009	0.0011
	-0.0138	-0.0139	-0.0017
Gender (1 = Male)	0.514	1.672	0.065
	-0.355	-0.593	-0.045
Education	1.213 ***	3.365 ***	0.155 ***
	-0.273	-0.918	-0.037
Location	2.430 **	11.36 **	0.311 ***
	-1.049	-11.91	-0.118
House size	0.0489	1.05	0.006
	-0.168	-0.176	-0.021
Electrical capacity limit	-0.0869	0.917	-0.0111
	-0.515	-0.472	-0.065
Occupation	-0.13	0.878	-0.0166
	-0.107	-0.0943	-0.0137
Ln income	0.758 ***	2.135 ***	0.097 ***
	-0.258	-0.55	-0.032
Observations	281	281	281
Prob > $\chi^2$	0.0000	0.0000	-
Pseudo R <sup>2</sup>	0.2084	0.2084	-

Note: \*\*\*, \*\* are significant at 1%, 5%, and 10% respectively

The regression results as can be seen in Table 2 emphasize that only three variables, i.e., education, residence location, and income, affect the interest in using rooftop PV. The education variable has a positive effect on interest in using rooftop PV. Furthermore, from the interpretation of the odds ratio, we can assert that, for a unit increase in the level of education, the odds in favor of installing rooftop PV



increase by 3.365 or about 236.5%. From the marginal effect result, we know that an increase of one level of education will raise the probability of installing rooftop PV by 0.155 points.

The location variable also has a positive effect on interest in using rooftop PV. It means that if the respondent lives on the city’s outskirts, then his chances of installing rooftop PV in his house are greater. For individuals who live outside the city, the odds in favor of installing rooftop PV increase by 11.36 or about 1036%. From the marginal effect result, we know that when the respondents begin living on the city’s outskirts, the probability of installing rooftop PV increases by 0.311 points.

In addition, if income increases by one percent, then the odds in favor of installing rooftop PV increase by 2.135 or about 113.5%. From the marginal effect result, we know that for a 1% increase in income, the probability of installing rooftop PV will increase by 0.097 points, or a 100% increase in income increases the probability of installing rooftop PV by 9.7 points. It makes sense because the price of roof PV in Kannur is categorized as a luxury good. Thus, the higher the income of the respondents, the greater the probability of installing rooftop PV at their homes.

**3.2. The Preference of Existing Respondents for Installing Rooftop PV**

In analyzing the preference of rooftop PV’s installation, we also asked the existing users. There were 121 existing customers, consisting of 89 respondents from households and 32 respondents from industries. Most of these users were from Thalassery (49.6%), Payyanur and Mattannur (38.8%), and Taliparamba (11.6%). Around 70.2% of respondents were male and 29.8% were female. According to their age, 30.6% of respondents were 20–30 years old, 23.1% were 30–40 years old, 31.4% were 40–50 years old, 12.4% were 50–60 years old, and 3.3% were more than 60 years old. Most respondents lived in suburban and rural areas, i.e., 57.9%, and the rests were in urban areas.

We used EFA to analyze the reasons for installing rooftop PV. The first step on the EFA analysis is calculating the number of factors using screen cut points by Finch (2020) and the Kaiser rule through extracting the factors for which the eigenvalue is more than one ( Morton et al. 2019). Using KMO analysis, Ogunsanya et al. (2022) recommended 0.5 as the cut-off value and 0.8 or more for factors that can continue to the next steps. In this research, the KMO value was 0.790, which is more than the cut-off value. Therefore, we can continue to the Barlett test for analyzing the correlation among indicators. The results indicate that the *p*-value was less than 0.001, indicating a strong correlation among indicators (Nguyen et al. 2022). Overall, the outcomes fulfill the KMO criteria and Barlett test; thus, the sample was appropriate to conduct the factor analysis.

The exploratory analysis showed the seven factors representing the reasons for using rooftop PV in Kannur. Nevertheless, there were five factors that had less than three indicators, i.e., wanting to save the foreign exchange reserve (Q3), wanting to give an example to their community of the benefits of rooftop PV (Q9), wanting to influence their community to be interested in installing rooftop PV (Q10), because of adjusting their budget (Q19), and because it adapts to the economic situation (Q20). Therefore, we dropped those questions, and the EFA resulted in four reasons for installing rooftop PV; hence, we can name the factors according to the grouping of indicators indicated by the loading factors from the step indicators. Those four factors were named (1) cultural, (2) environment awareness, (3) technological knowledge, and (4) loyalty, as explained in **Table 3**.

<b>Table 3: The factors and items of the reasons for using rooftop PV</b>	
<b>Factors</b>	<b>Items</b>

**Table 3:** The factors and items of the reasons for using rooftop PV

Factors	Items
Factor 1: Cultural	Because it is easy to find it in their area (Q12), because it is in accordance with modern society (Q13), because it reflects the culture in their neighborhood (Q14), because the surrounding society is used to using it (Q16), because it is influenced by their role and status in society (Q18), because it is practical and easy to find (Q22)
Factor 2: Environmental Awareness	Wanting to reduce the air pollution (Q1), wanting to contribute to conserving non-renewable fossil energy (Q2), wanting to implement Green Energy (Q4), because solar power is a sustainable source of energy (Q5), wanting to be the person/industry who started the movement for the use of rooftop PV in Kannur (Q8)
Factor 3: Technological Knowledge	Wanting to follow the current trend (Q11), because it is considered the technology that is popular today (Q15), because it reflects self-identity (Q21), because of the perception of advertising (Q23)
Factor 4: Loyalty	Still using rooftop PV even though they know it is expensive (Q6), still using rooftop PV even though they know it is currently still imported from abroad (Q7), due to the influence of friends/other industries (Q17)

Factor 1 was the culture and surrounding environment encouraging someone to use rooftop PV. In this factor, the reason that has the highest loading value is that rooftop PV is easily found in residences or industrial locations, and the surrounding environment already uses rooftop PV. The lifestyle of rooftop PV that develops in the surrounding environment seems to influence a person's behavior to also follow using rooftop PV. The second factor illustrates the reasons for concern and environmental awareness that one wants to contribute to a better environment, such as reducing air pollution, saving fossil energy, green energy, and sustainable energy. Some of these things are the reasons for respondents using rooftop PV. This is reinforced by the mean of each question, which is 3.2. The third factor illustrates the knowledge of current emerging technological trends as a reason for the use of rooftop PV. The fourth factor is loyalty, which is that respondents generally choose to keep using rooftop PV even though the price is still relatively expensive.

As well as asking their reasons, we also questioned the weaknesses of installing rooftop PV. Overall, both the household and industrial respondents answered that the main weakness of installing rooftop PV is that the price of the rooftop PV installation is relatively costly. Rooftop PV is considered expensive by the customers because of two main reasons, i.e., the limited availability of supporting materials and the maintenance of rooftop PV. Rooftop PV service providers have to import the PV materials from abroad, such as China and Germany. Additionally, they also stated that the participants did not intend to adopt due to its dependency and its frequent overheating during usage.

Furthermore, we also asked several questions to understand the customers' satisfaction with rooftop PV in Kannur both from household and industrial respondents. First, we asked about how long it takes to obtain their payback time when they had used rooftop PV. From the household costumers, the results showed that most respondents (40%) obtained it within 6 to 10 years of the return period, 38% of them





answered 1 to 5 years, and the longest period was 11 to 20 years after installing the rooftop PV (from 8% respondents). Similar results were also indicated by the industrial respondents, which were 6 to 10 years for 33% of respondents, 1 to 5 years for 30%, 10 to 20 years for 22%, and more than 20 years for 15%. Therefore, generally, the return period of installing rooftop PV in Kannur was around 6 to 10 years. This is in line with the respondents' answers regarding the rooftop PV's economic life. Most household respondents, or 50%, answered that their rooftop PV's economic life was 10 to 20 years, and even 24% said it was more than 20 years. In industrial respondents, 30% answered around 10 to 20 years, although 22% said less than 10 years.

Second, we asked about the comparison between the benefit and cost analysis of using rooftop PV. From the household and industrial respondents, most of them (77% and 63%, respectively) said that the benefits received and the costs incurred of installing rooftop PV were proportional and quite comparable. Specifically, they stated that the benefits of installing rooftop PV were cost savings. A total of 69% of households and 55% of industrial respondents claimed to be more efficient after installing rooftop PV. Another benefit was the anticipation or backup of electrical energy if using rooftop PV. In addition, a small percentage answered that rooftop PV is able to accommodate large loads and investments, is environmentally friendly, and so on. From the benefits that have been spelled out, we can provide the view that using rooftop PV is able to reduce the cost of electricity in the long run.

Third, we questioned respondents about the quality of rooftop PV they have installed. From the household and industrial respondents, 77% of them said that their rooftop PV worked well, 3% said it worked very well, and 10% said it worked quite well. According to the frequency of reparations, they said that it was less than three times a month. From the existing duration-making choices, the majority of respondents chose the smallest option. This means that there is not much need for improvement every month, and it can be said that rooftop PV treatment is easy to do. This is strengthened by the cost that must be incurred by respondents every month for rooftop PV. Most respondents, or 44%, said that repair costs that must be incurred by rooftop PV users were less than IDR 300,000 or USD 21.16 out of the options used.

Finally, we also confirmed the overall satisfaction with installing rooftop PV. Most of the respondents both from household and industry answered that they were satisfied with their rooftop PV (77% were satisfied and 17% were very satisfied). Therefore, the respondents were interested and happy with rooftop PV instead of complaining about its weaknesses.

#### **4. Conclusions and Recommendations**

This study aims to determine the profile of existing and potential customers of rooftop PV in Kannur's electricity market and analyze the determinants of rooftop PV installation by the customers. The result reveals that the higher the level of education, the higher the probability of installing rooftop PV. These things can happen because when a person's level of education is higher, their awareness or concern for the environment will be more heightened. Then, location can also influence the decision to install rooftop PV. When they are on the outskirts of the city, the possibility to install rooftop PV as a source of electricity will be even greater. In addition, when their income is high, they are more likely to be interested in using rooftop PV. It makes sense because the price of roof PV in Kannur is categorized as luxury goods.

There are several factors that influence the use of rooftop PV. Some of them were (1) rooftop PV being easily found in residences or industrial locations and the surrounding environment already using rooftop PV, (2) concern and environmental awareness, (3) knowledge of current emerging technological



trends, And (4) loyalty, which is when respondents generally choose to keep using rooftop PV even though the price is still relatively expensive.

Based on the determinant analysis, rooftop PV is considered more economical and environmentally friendly compared to the electricity from the PLN power grid. However, these customers believe that rooftop PV has disadvantages. Overall, both the household and industrial respondents answered that the main weaknesses of installing rooftop PV were the expensive price, the dependence on the weather, the need for a large installation area, the materials that are less environmentally friendly (difficult to recycle), and the frequent overheating of the device.

Regarding customer satisfaction with rooftop PV, most of the respondents from both households and industries answered that they were satisfied with their rooftop PV. Consumers say that the benefits they obtain are comparable to the required installation costs, and generally, the return period of installing rooftop PV in Kannur was around 6 to 10 years. The majority of consumers also said that the rooftop PV worked well and did not require many repairs every month, so consumers did not need to spend a lot of money on it.

Strengthening policy connectivity and coordination between energy and the rest of the economy will draw maximum systemic benefits from the energy transformation. There are several policy recommendations that can be applied based on the analysis results: (1) reducing the cost of installing PV rooftops, as decreasing the price of rooftop PV per year and government subsidies on rooftop PV prices has an impact on the increasing rate of adoption of rooftop PV; (2) providing education to increase awareness and concern for the environment; and (3) providing long-term stability of policy instruments. Policymaking needs to minimize swings from strong supportive measures to aggressive curbs.

### Abbreviations

kWh	kilo Watt-hour
LCA	life cycle assessment
PLN	Perusahaan Listrik Negara
PV	Photo Voltaics
USD	United States Dollar
VA	Electrical power unit used for the apparent power in an electrical circuit
WTP	Willingness To Pay

### References

- [1] Ajija, Shochrul Rohmatul, Muhamad Abduh, Wasiaturrahma Wasiaturrahma, and Ahmad Hudaifah. 2021. Ethnicity and Household Savings in Indonesia. In *Research Anthology on Personal Finance and Improving Financial Literacy*. Hershey: IGI Global, pp. 138–50.
- [2] Ali, Elhashemi M., Mohamed M. Ahmed, and Shaun S. Wulff. "Detection of critical safety events on freeways in clear and rainy weather using SHRP2 naturalistic driving data: Parametric and non-parametric techniques." *Safety Science* 119 (2019): 141-149.
- [3] Celadyn, Waclaw, and Pawel Filipek. "Investigation of the effective use of photovoltaic modules in architecture." *Buildings* 10, no. 9 (2020): 145.
- [4] Do, Thang Nam, Paul J. Burke, Kenneth GH Baldwin, and Chinh The Nguyen. "Underlying drivers and barriers for solar photovoltaics diffusion: The case of Vietnam." *Energy Policy* 144 (2020): 111561.



- [5] Hammerle, Mara, Lee V. White, and Bjorn Sturmborg. "Solar for renters: Investigating investor perspectives of barriers and policies." *Energy Policy* 174 (2023): 113417.
- [6] Haryadi, Fajar Nurrohman, Muhammad Ali Imron, Harry Indrawan, and Meiri Triani. 2019. Predicting Rooftop Photovoltaic Adoption in the Residential Consumers of PLN Using Agent-Based Modeling. Paper presented at 2019 International Conference on Technologies and Policies in Electric Power Energy, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, October 21–22; pp. 1–5.
- [7] Hidayatno, Akhmad, Andri Setiawan, I. Made Wikananda Supartha, Armand O. Moeis, Irvanu Rahman, and Eddie Widiono. 2020. Investigating policies on improving household rooftop photovoltaics adoption in Indonesia. *Renewable Energy* 156: 731–42.
- [8] IRENA. 2019. *Future of Photovoltaic: Deployment, Investment, Technology, Grid Integration and Socio-Economic Aspects*. Abu Dhabi: International Renewable Energy Agency, Available online: <https://www.irena.org/publications/2019/Nov/Future-of-Solar-Photovoltaic> (accessed on 15 November 2019).
- [9] Jayaweera, Nadeeka, Chathuri L. Jayasinghe, and Sandaru N. Weerasinghe. 2018. Local factors affecting the spatial diffusion of residential photovoltaic adoption in Sri Lanka. *Energy Policy* 119: 59–67.
- [10] Joshi, Lalita, Deepak Choudhary, Praveen Kumar, Jayendran Venkateswaran, and Chetan S. Solanki. "Does involvement of local community ensure sustained energy access? A critical review of a solar PV technology intervention in rural India." *World Development* 122 (2019): 272–281.
- [11] Lekavičius, V., V. Bobinaitė, A. Galinis, and A. Pažėraitė. "Distributional impacts of investment subsidies for residential energy technologies." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 130 (2020): 109961.
- [12] Mabel, Onyekachi Akuoma, and Olanrewaju Samuel Olayemi. "A comparison of principal component analysis, maximum likelihood and the principal axis in factor analysis." *American Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* 10, no. 2 (2020): 44–54.
- [13] Mambwe, Mwewa, Erastus M. Mwanaumo, Wellington D. Thwala, and Clinton O. Aigbavboa. "Evaluating occupational health and safety management strategy success factors for small-scale contractors in Zambia." *Sustainability* 13, no. 9 (2021): 4696.
- [14] Margolis, Robert, and Jarett Zuboy. 2006. Nontechnical Barriers to Solar Energy Use: Review of Recent Literature. Available online: <http://www.osti.gov/bridge> (accessed on 15 November 2019).
- [15] McKenna, Eoghan, Jessica Few, Ellen Webborn, Ben Anderson, Simon Elam, David Shipworth, Adam Cooper, Martin Pullinger, and Tadj Oreszczyn. "Explaining daily energy demand in British housing using linked smart meter and socio-technical data in a bottom-up statistical model." *Energy and Buildings* 258 (2022): 111845.
- [16] Murthy, K. V., Deepa Saran, and Meghna Malhotra. "A Firm Level Study on Determinants of Spillover Effects of Foreign Direct Investment in the Manufacturing Industry in India." *FOCUS: Journal of International Business* 6, no. 1 (2019): 1–26.
- [17] Morton, F. Blake, and Drew Altschul. "Data reduction analyses of animal behaviour: avoiding Kaiser's criterion and adopting more robust automated methods." *Animal behaviour* 149 (2019): 89–95.
- [18] Ng, Marques SN, Kai Chow Choi, Dorothy NS Chan, Cho Lee Wong, Weijie Xing, Pui Shan Ho, Cecilia Au et al. "Identifying a cut-off score for the COST measure to indicate high financial toxicity and low quality of life among cancer patients." *Supportive Care in Cancer* 29 (2021): 6109–6117.
- [19] Nguyen, Phuong, Nga Le, Hoa Trieu, Tien Huynh, and Hoa Quynh Tran. "DETERMINANTS OF TURNOVER INTENTION: THE CASE OF PUBLIC SERVANTS IN VIETNAM." (2022).
- [20] Ogunsanya, Oluwabukunmi A., Clinton O. Aigbavboa, Didibhuku W. Thwala, and David J. Edwards. "Barriers to sustainable procurement in the Nigerian construction industry: an exploratory factor analysis." *International Journal of Construction Management* 22, no. 5 (2022): 861–872.
- [21] PLN. 2020. *Rooftop PV Customers Report*. Jakarta: PLN.



- [22] Tambunan, Handrea Bernando, Dzikri Firmansyah Hakam, Iswan Prahastono, Anita Pharmatrisanti, Andreas Putro Purnomoadi, Siti Aisyah, Yonny Wicaksono, and I. Gede Ryan Sandy. 2020. The Challenges and Opportunities of Renewable Energy Source (RES) Penetration in Indonesia: Case Study of Java-Bali Power System. *Energies* 13: 5903.
- [23] Tarigan, Elieser. 2018. Simulation and feasibility studies of rooftop PV system for university campus buildings in Surabaya, Indonesia. *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research* 8: 89jakarta5–908.
- [24] Tushar, Wayes, Chau Yuen, Tapan K. Saha, Thomas Morstyn, Archie C. Chapman, M. Jan E. Alam, Sarmad Hanif, and H. Vincent Poor. "Peer-to-peer energy systems for connected communities: A review of recent advances and emerging challenges." *Applied Energy* 282 (2021): 116131.