



SUGGESTION OF MUSIC, MOVIES AND E-COMMERCE USING RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM

Dr.G.Lavanya Devi Assistant Professor, Computer Science And Systems Engineering, Andhra university, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh,
Chenna Nirupama M.tech ,Department of Information Technology & Computer Applications ,Andhra University College of Engineering ,visakapatnam ,Andhra Pradesh, Nirupama.nagu10@gmail.com

Abstract: Recommender Systems are used to propose resources to consumers, such as books they would enjoy reading, goods they might want to purchase, music, movies, etc., based on a dataset of the resource and the user's preferences. A user's viewing history or specified preferences are typically used by movie recommender systems to find movies that the user may find interesting. The current movie recommendation systems employ factors like language, actors, director, movie rating, etc. to suggest films that are related to the genre of films a user has already viewed or that are popular with other users. The most frequently used characteristic is a movie's genre. recommending films that are all of the same genre no longer holds users' interest. Regardless of the genre, they want to find movies with an intriguing plot. Finding the necessary content is becoming more difficult as there are millions more pieces of content produced each year, but this difficulty can be mitigated by using category and language filters. Therefore, a content-based movie recommendation system is suggested in this project, in which suggestions will be based on a certain topic that will serve as a representation of a movie narrative. As an illustration, the theme "animal" will be given to movies whose plot centers around animals. Wordnet and Topic Modeling are used to assign topics to movies. Users' preferences for the plot-aspect issues they want to examine are recorded, and suggestions are made in accordance with those preferences. used in this project's dataset comprises of 2170 movies that were taken from the IMDB website, where numerous individuals create lists of movies based on different aspects of their plots. The accuracy of anticipated plot topics is calculated manually using movies from the list of the top Telugu movies on the imdb website. This project is an amateurish attempt to determine the subjects covered in a film based on its storyline synopsis. In order to improve this strategy, more research should be conducted to determine how the themes are related and to anticipate human-like movie lists based on plot-aspects..

I Introduction

Collaborative Filtering, in which the authors of recommender systems originally discussed how people collaborate and filter email documents that are pertinent to them and useful to their audience, is where recommender systems were first introduced. Analyses of shared characteristics between two or more documents were performed during the filtering phase. The documents that were studied had properties such as message, reply, or its annotations. More intriguing documents were chosen as a result of human filtering involvement. E-commerce sites can be quickly and automatically customized and personalized with recommender systems. By catering to the demands of the visitors and converting them into customers, up-selling additional products by grouping closely similar items together, and fostering client loyalty, they enable the sites to produce more sales. Customers are more likely to remain

loyal when they are ensuring they spend the necessary time learning about them and understanding their needs. This becomes clear when the layout of the website, the products, and how the products are presented adapt to the needs and preferences of the users. Customers return to these websites more frequently than they do a competitor's since they are familiar with them and did not have to through a learning curve. Customers will return to a site they are familiar with even if the rival offers a comparable experience. The music recommendation systems have two sharp edges. Both the user and the provider can benefit from them. By narrowing down the options available to the user, they make recommendations for songs that they have found to be interesting and keep the user interested. They offer the potential for discovering music that a user may not be aware is out there. Because it is a music recommendation, the entertainment value is never diminished. INFORMATION USED Background data User demographics, item attributes, and user preferences are all pieces of



information that are frequently used to create recommendation decisions. The most widely utilized features are listed in Table 1. User demographics are characteristics of users generally that may have an impact on the outcomes of suggestions. This data covers, among other things, preferences for a specific gender, age group, profession, income level, and interests. There are two types of item attributes: intrinsic and extrinsic.

User preferences can be expressed as a presence score, such as "likes it" or "dislikes," or as a numerical score that represents how much the user likes something. enjoys the item. When users are asked to rate a product, they occasionally give explicit feedback. Implicit indicators of user ratings include the length of time users spend reading and analyzing a certain page. Implicit indicators are more challenging to collect, but they convey more information about the user than the person may normally divulge. B. Database Knowledge Discovery (KDD) KDD, also known as data mining, refers to the process of extracting meaningful data from a dataset. Either implicit or explicit information can be present. It is used to discover innovative strategies for persuading people to buy more things from e-commerce websites, hence increasing their efficiency. Businesses that use KDD can identify trends in user purchasing patterns, such as what season specific things are more likely to be purchased, and make suggestions on that, making millions of dollars in revenue. Association rules are one of the most significant algorithms utilized in KDD. The guidelines attempt to link a series of musical plagiarism.

The Modules for Calculations are made to determine how closely the input polyphonic music's sequence resembles that of songs in the database, and then similar sections of those songs are found.

You may accomplish this by use content-based filtering, only products of the same sort that the user is already using, or in our instance listening to, are recommended by the model. Although this might be useful, the recommendation's value is far higher. Less because it doesn't have the element of surprise that comes with learning something brand new.

Work-related motivation Various recommendations should be driven primarily by customer happiness. Customer happiness and credibility are increased by locating user complaints and addressing them. The greatest way to deal with this issue for at least a little while in the modern world, where many people are busy and going through a lot in life, is to listen to music.

Therefore, we made the decision to develop a music recommendation system that will allow users to listen to songs based on their interests, get recommendations based on how closely the lyrics match, and also get recommendations based on how they are feeling.

1.1 Different Recommender System Types

Systems that recommend content can be customized, item-to-item correlation, people-to-people correlation, and non-personalized, based correlation. Depending on how they are applied, recommendations might have a brief or extended life. The system is deemed automatic if it needs little to no interaction from the active user and manual if it does.

Automatically depending on the user's preferences, such as preferred color, movie genre, and music group, personalized recommendations are made for them. They are frequently compared to carefully chosen products by content creators and specialists in order to offer suggestions based on user interests and tastes. Non-personalized recommenders solely use the system's user base to make recommendations for products. These recommendations are simple since they require little work to create them and are regarded as automated because user involvement is not necessary. These suggestions are long-lasting since they will be utilized by different types of users. In recommendations that are generated based on qualities, items can be described using a variety of features and attributes. This approach is thought of as

Issue Statement

To create the best music recommendation system using collaborative filtering, content-based, machine learning, and data analysis while avoiding copyright issues is the fundamental task of an e-commerce recommendation system with plagiarism detection

1.2 Techniques for Recommendations

Recommender systems create recommendations using a variety of algorithms and methods. The most common ones include collaborative filtering, association rules, content-based filtering, and hybrid filtering. A. Association Rules Products are recommended based on their availability alongside other products using association rules. When two items When two products are bought together, the existence of one item in a transaction can be used to infer the presence of the second product.

To evaluate the effectiveness of the linkages formed, two variables—confidence and support—are used. As indicated in equation (2), confidence measures the frequency of both



products occurring whenever one product is present in the transaction, while support measures the frequency of the association occurring throughout the whole collection of transactions as shown in equation (1). s is the number of transactions that contain X or Y overall. c is the quantity of transactions that contain X or Y . Availability: Based on the Network Availability, the program is constantly accessible to all intended users.

Implementation: Because the system was created using the property of modularity, it can be readily installed and there is room for future adjustments.

2 Literature survey

A perfect music recommendation engine should be able to automatically make individualized music recommendations to human listeners. Millions of users have joined numerous music discovery websites to date, and the growth is tremendous. These websites include Last.fm, All Music, Pandora, Audio Baba Mog, Spotify, and Apple Genius. The most common methods are discussed in this part, including collaborative filtering, content-based information retrieval, emotion-based models, context-based information retrieval, and hybrid models.

The plagiarism module deals with the check of comparable music genres and detects songs with similar musical notes. The plagiarism module is included in the proposed system in addition to the recommendation system, which is a huge benefit for resolving copyright issues.

Users of related websites are given song recommendations using the collaborative filtering technique. groups. Utilizing collaborative filtering technique has the advantage of achieving customisation in music recommendations. Memory-based collaborative filtering, model-based filtering, and hybrid-based filtering are the three forms of collaborative filtering that are engaged.

The collaborative filtering methods used by the existing recommender systems have had remarkable success. When Netflix launched a competition for the best collaborative filtering algorithm, the winning algorithm that used latent factor models could outperform it by 10.09%. Collaborative filtering on the user-user and item-item levels is used by Amazon, and this is one of the key factors in the company's success. Neural collaborative filtering is a more recent approach that uses neural networks.

By examining the song track, the content-based filtering technique is applied to forecast the song. It is based on

information retrieval and information filtering that suggests a song that is similar to songs that the user has already listened to instead of what the user has rated "like" Finding perceptually comparable recordings has drawn a lot of attention in research that focuses on extracting and comparing acoustic properties.

Timbre and rhythm are the ones that currently stand out the most. The distance between songs is calculated using the extracted features. K-means clustering with Earth-Mover's Distance, Expectation-Maximization, and Monte Carlo sampling are three common similarity metrics. Euclidean average feature vectors.

Many academics have suggested various approaches using machine learning techniques for content-based algorithms, including logistic regression, support vector machines, and decision trees. We can make maximum use of the skills we developed in class to put these algorithms into practice.

The digitization of music has made various musical genres more widely accessible. The required time to listen to and analyze music for the formation of a personal music library is unavailable due to rising work demands. Making a music search engine or recommendation system based on various moods could be one approach. Create a method for classifying moods from lyrics by incorporating a variety of semantic and stylistic information gleaned from textual lyrics.

. Current Systems

2.1 Collaboration Filtering

Customer information, aggregated reviews, and ratings from all consumers are used in the collaborative filtering approach to provide suggestions.

This strategy's strength is that it examines current, active clients with comparable tastes and the present customer's traits are used to create the recommendations. A heuristic-based, model-based, or hybrid model that combines traits from both heuristic and model-based approaches can be used to implement the filtering method. To determine the suggestions, the heuristic-based or memory-based collaborative filtering approach considers rating information, whether the product was purchased or not, and the amount of time spent examining the products. Selecting all the customers who are the present customer's neighbors is done by employing similarity measures such as personal information, cosine metric, and Jaccard coefficient for binary data.

The model-based collaborative filtering technique builds a model utilizing various data mining and machine learning techniques using training data, such as the ratings and reviews of the active users. The prototype is If customers have not yet



given it a rating or been exposed to it, the rating is predicted for them using the testing data and list of items.

The active customer's information is the only input used by the model-based approach, but the heuristics-based model uses the complete database and the customers to generate recommendations for the active client.

Bayesian model, clustering, association rules, artificial neural networks, linear regression, maximum entropy, latent semantic analysis, and Markov process are a few examples of techniques and algorithms that can be applied.

The technology employed in recommender systems that is the most effective and popular on the internet is collaborative filtering. Three parts make up the recommender system: representation, neighborhood creation, and creation of recommendations.

2.2 Collaborative filtering (CF), employs the numerical reviews provided by the user and is mostly dependent upon the previous data of the user available to the system. A matrix R of size $n \times m$ is generated for n customers and m items. Both the individual profile and the object profile are created using the historical data that is readily available.

To create a recommendation system, the user profile and the item profile are both used.

It does have some drawbacks, which has stimulated the creation of new strategies and tactics.

2.3 Neighborhood-based memory-based collaborative filtering
A group of people with shared interests is formed, and Each user is a component of that. The implementation of user-based CF and items scales nicely with associated items. Items being suggested are not necessary. Memory issues, sparsity, and their reliance on human ratings all have inherent limitations.

CF based on models The models (such as data mining algorithms, machine learning) are complex patterns based on training data, and the learned models are subsequently used to make intelligent predictions for CF tasks for real-world data. It serves as a logical justification for recommendations. Model-based CF has the drawback of losing information that dimensionality reduction approaches can use.

2.4 Techniques for Hybrid Collaborative Filtering

Different collaborative approaches and various recommender techniques (often content-based approaches) are used in hybrid recommender systems. combination to produce improved results. Using hybrid methodologies can help you avoid a number of issues, including cold-start, data sparsity, and scalability. The following are various ways to combine CF with other recommender techniques:

2.5 Hybrid Recommenders with Content-Based and CF Features

Hybrid recommenders combine CF algorithms with other recommender systems and other recommender systems.

Content-Based Recommender Systems Content-based systems place an emphasis on the features of the products and seek to build user profiles based on past evaluations as well as profiles of the items themselves based on the features they offer and the reviews they have gotten. Comparing pure CF versus pure Content-base is most frequently done through altering. The sparsity issue is resolved in CF (converting a sparse user-filled matrix Using content-based prediction, a whole user rating matrix can be created. The input is preserved combined with the pertinent entities and relations of an item.

2.6 Context-Based Recommender System

It assists in gathering data on a specific group of people, and this data is essential for improving the user suggestions and improving the effectiveness of the system. Recommender systems need the user's current situational information, which context-based recommender systems directly access using a variety of methods (such GPS) without bothering the user.

The system receives as input from the user's location data, social data, time of day, and weather data, which are all considered to be contextual data. The user's general address is discovered and the place is noted. A user's social account can be asked for permission to access their social data. Depending on whether or not they vary over time, contextual elements can be either dynamic or static.

2.6.1 Dynamic: When the external conditions are unstable and alter over time. By receiving direct customer feedback, they might alter. User comments are typically used to improve a user's profile so that recommendations are more accurate. The major problem is that a system should be able to determine when to transition to a new underlying context model if it is thought of as dynamic.

2.6.2 Static: The contextual components are steady because they don't alter throughout time. To purchase a a mobile phone When the full application for purchasing purpose recommendation is running, the contextual aspects can only be time and the reason of the purchase. Depending on what is being observed (or what the system actually understands), contextual elements can fall into one of three categories: fully observable, partially observable, or unobservable.

Fully observable: At the moment that suggestions are given, the whole structure and values of contextual elements are known openly. A portion of the information regarding the contextual



elements is explicitly known. Unobservable: It does not expressly contain any information about contextual elements.

2.6.3 Benefits

The experimental findings showed that as a generation grows, average scores, which are objectively gathered through user assessments, gradually rise.

2.6.4 Restrictions

Finding ideas is incredibly difficult for people. with a sound heuristic that accurately captures the goal of the algorithm. It might not always identify the best solution to the given issue. Although the music recommendation system has some parallels to previous commercial recommendation systems, it is more concerned with offering useful and individualized music recommendations than with recommending products for users to purchase. A system for recommending music to people should be able to do it automatically and based on their tastes. The main challenges we will have with this endeavor are that, in contrast to novels or movies, music is much shorter and that individuals typically listen to their favorite songs more than once.

3 Implementation Study

- The "closeness" of instances is calculated using the KNN method by measuring distance. It then assigns a class to an instance by locating its closest neighbors, choosing the class that is most common among those neighbors. This approach will forecast using the whole set of data. When attempting to forecast a new value, The algorithm will seek
- the K instances of the set that are most similar to it. The value of the variable that has to be predicted is then calculated using the output values of the closest K neighbors.
- Our study is divided into eight parts, the first of which is the beginning. It entails problem identification and literature review, data cleaning and selection, modeling recommender systems, and designing, implementing, and assessing an application for the music recommender system.
- Analysis, mood prediction, plagiarism detection, and recommendation are all included

3.1 proposed methodology

- K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN): For both user-based and item-based collaborative filtering techniques, K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) is regarded as the de facto methodology. A supervised non-parametric Lazy Learning technique used for both classification and regression is the KNN algorithm. It takes into account a graph based on the rating and plots the rating of

the input song in the graph. It then uses cosine similarity to compute the distance with all of the other songs and recommends the song with the smallest distance.

- KNN is a machine learning method that identifies groups of people with similar reading preferences and makes predictions based on the average rating of the top k neighbors.
- Sklearn.neighbors and unsupervised methods are used. The "brute" approach we employ to calculate the nearest neighbors In order for the algorithm to determine the cosine similarity between rating vectors, we specify "metric=cosine". We finally fitted the model.

4. Methodology

MODULES:

4.1 Learning Machines

A machine learning model, which is referred to as the mathematical representation of the real-world process, is the product of the training process. The training dataset, which is used to approximate the target function and is in charge of mapping the inputs to the outputs from the accessible dataset, is where the machine learning algorithms look for patterns. These machine learning techniques are categorized as Classification models, Regression models, Clustering, Dimensionality Reductions, Principal Component Analysis, etc. depending on the task at hand.

A strong ML solution necessitates a good flow of organized, diverse data, and machine learning is no exception. Companies have access to a substantial amount of data about their clients in today's online-first world, typically in the millions. these numbers, which is characterized as big data because of the enormous amount of information it contains, both in terms of the number of data points and the number of fields.

4.2 machine learning under supervision

When the output is categorized or labeled, supervised learning methods are applied. These algorithms gain knowledge from previously entered data, referred to as training data, analyze that data, and then utilize the results of that analysis to forecast future outcomes for any new data falling into the predetermined categories. Large data is necessary to have a good comprehension of the patterns in order to accurately forecast test data. By comparing the training outputs to the real ones and using the errors to alter the algorithms, the algorithm can be trained further.

4.3 Automatic Machine Learning

When using unsupervised learning techniques, are not in possession of the labeled or classified outputs, and we are

uninformed of the final results. These algorithms analyze and produce a function to describe entirely undescribed and hidden patterns. As a result, there is no accurate result; instead, it analyzes the data to reveal unidentified structures in unlabeled data. The labels in supervised learning give the algorithm the ability to determine the precise type of relationship existing between any two data points. Unsupervised learning, on the other hand, lacks labels to base its work on, leading to the development of hidden structures. The program interprets relationships between data points in an abstract fashion without the need for human input.

4.4 Functional Preconditions

An explanation of the service that the software must provide is contained in a functional requirement (FR). It describes a piece of software or a software system.

It might be system's likely function can be determined by a computation, data manipulation, business process, user interaction, or any other specialized feature.

4.5 Datasets: -

The system goes through the classifier training process and plays music it thinks you would like based on the training data. The system can display a selection of musical records from the content via collaborative filtering; it can also identify and display musical records that have been plagiarized; it can display musical records based on the user's emotions; and it can display customized music.

```

Procedure 4: FIND-ORDER-RECOMMENDATION( $R^m, n, m$ )
1. for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ 
2.   for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ 
3.      $temp[j] = R^m[i, j]$ 
4.      $index[j] = j$ 
5.   endfor
6.   for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ 
7.     for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m - k - 1$ 
8.       if  $temp[j] < temp[j + 1]$ 
9.          $t = temp[j]$ 
10.         $temp[j] = temp[j + 1]$ 
11.         $temp[j + 1] = t$ 
12.         $ti = index[j]$ 
13.         $index[j] = index[j + 1]$ 
14.         $index[j + 1] = ti$ 
15.      endif
16.    endfor
17.  endfor
18.  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ 
19.    if  $temp[j] > 0$ 
20.      Print "Recommend item  $index[j]$  as top- $j$  item"
21.    endif
22.  endfor
23. endfor
  
```

Algorithm: Normalization-based Collaborative Filtering Recommender (NCFR)

Phase I: Designing the RS

Input: A 2-D matrix R , a set of n users and a set of m items

Output: A set of possible items per user or top- M items per user

1. Call FIND-AVERAGE-USER-RATING-AND-NORM-USER-COUNT(R, n, m)
2. Call FIND-RATING-PER-USER-AND-NORM-RATING-PER-USER(R, n, m)
3. Call FIND-RECOMMENDATION($R, n, m, avg_rating_item, norm_avg_rating_user, norm_user_count$)
4. Call FIND-ORDER-RECOMMENDATION(R^m, n, m)
5. Find total, minimum, maximum and average number of items recommended

Algorithm 1 Music Recommendation

Requires: The music feature extractor M based on Music-CRN, the number of pieces of music N , the spectrogram slice number of a piece of music T , all music spectrogram slices after data preprocessing $D = \{d_{1,1}, d_{1,2}, \dots, d_{N,T}\}$, the music for recommendation X , empty list V

Ensures: The recommended music for X

- 1: Create feature vectors $F = \{f_{1,1}, f_{1,2}, \dots, f_{N,T}\}$ from all music spectrogram slices in D and $F_x = \{f_{x,1}, f_{x,2}, \dots, f_{x,T}\}$ in X using feature extractor M
- 2: for music index $i = 1$ to N do
- 3: for spectrogram index $j = 1$ to T do
- 4: Select the feature vector of T spectrograms corresponding to the i -th music, $f_i = \{f_{i,1}, f_{i,2}, \dots, f_{i,T}\}$
- 5: end for
- 6: Calculate the average feature vector of the i -th music: $F_i = 1/T \sum_{t=1}^T f_{i,t}$
- 7: Add the average feature vector F_i of music to V : $V.append(F_i)$
- 8: end for
- 9: Calculate the average feature vector of X : $F_x = 1/T \sum_{t=1}^T f_{x,t}$
- 10: Calculate the cosine distance between X and each piece of music in V according to (3)
- 11: Select the top 3 pieces of music with the highest similarity to X as its recommendations

```

Procedure 3: FIND-RECOMMENDATION
( $R, n, m, avg\_rating\_item, norm\_avg\_rating\_user, norm\_user\_count$ )
1. for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ 
2.   for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ 
3.     if  $R[i, j] = 0$ 
4.        $R^m[i, j] = avg\_rating\_item[j]$ 
5.     else
6.        $R^m[i, j] = 0$ 
7.     endif
8.     if  $R^m[i, j] \neq 0$  &  $R^m[i, j] \geq norm\_avg\_rating\_user[i]$ 
9.        $R^m[i, j] = R^m[i, j] \times norm\_user\_count[j]$ 
10.    else
11.       $R^m[i, j] = 0$ 
12.    endif
13.  endfor
14. endfor
  
```

Pseudo code for finding the recommendation

1. Load the GWC dataset S_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots, N$) S_n represents random cluster positions in Movielens dataset.
2. Initialize r, R , and Q // r, R and Q are coefficient points.
3. Estimate the approximations of each explorer negotiator
4. $N_1 = 2^{10}$ fittest explorer negotiator
5. $N_2 = 2^{15}$ fittest explorer negotiator
6. $N_3 = 2^{20}$ fittest explorer negotiator
7. While ($\alpha = \text{Max}$ number of iterations) α represents current iteration.
 - 7.1 for respective explorer negotiator
 - 7.1.1 Refresh the spot of the present explorer negotiator.
 - 7.2 end for
 - 7.3 Refresh r, R and Q .
 - 7.4 Determine the approximations of entire explorer negotiators.
 - 7.5 Refresh $N_1, N_2, \& N_3$.
 - 7.6 $\alpha = \alpha + 1$
8. R and while
 - 8.1 S_n represents positions of centroids given by the grey wolf optimizer for Movielens data.
 - 8.2 Randomly select cluster centres // Fuzzy c -means.
 - 8.3 Load $F = \{f_{ij}\}$ matrix, $F(i,j)$
 - 8.4 Estimate the S_n using:

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \left[\frac{F(i,j) - \mu_{ij}}{\sigma_{ij}} \right] \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{F(i,j) - \mu_{ij}}{\sigma_{ij}} \right]^2}$$
 - 8.5 set $k=0$
 - 8.6 At k -stage, determine the midpoints $FD(k) = \{c_{ij}\}$ with $F(i,j)$

$$c_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m S_{ij} \cdot F(i,j)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m S_{ij}}$$
 // represents the new position of the j th cluster for Movielens dataset.
 - 8.6.1 Refresh $F(i,j), F(i,k+1)$

$$F_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \left[\frac{F(i,j) - \mu_{ij}}{\sigma_{ij}} \right] \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{F(i,j) - \mu_{ij}}{\sigma_{ij}} \right]^2}$$
 - 8.6.2 $F(i,j) = F(i,k+1) - F(i,k) \times \alpha$, then discontinues; else go back to step 12.
- 8.7. Return newly formed clusters and cluster centres for the Movielens users.

5 Results and Evolution Metrics

```

In [145]: recommendation2 = {
    "song": songs[song],iloc[120],
    "number_songs": 4
}

In [146]: recommendations.recommend(recommendation2)

The 4 recommended songs for Scorpio Rising are:
Number 1:
It's A Heaven by Eddie Cochran with 0.171 similarity score
-----
Number 2:
Before I'm Over You by Loretta Lynn with 0.156 similarity score
-----
Number 3:
I Don't Wanna Break by Christina Perri with 0.154 similarity score
-----
Number 4:
Crash Feeding by Lou Reed with 0.148 similarity score
  
```

FIG 1: RESULTS OF RECOMMENDED SONGS

```

In [147]: recommendation2 = {
    "movie": movies[movie],iloc[100],
    "number_movies": 4
}

In [148]: recommendations.recommend(recommendation2)

The 4 recommended songs for Love Me Do are:
Number 1:
Fate's Attraction by Chris Brown with 0.488 similarity score
-----
Number 2:
I Love Me I Love Her by Chast Berry with 0.288 similarity score
-----
Number 3:
All Love by Digital Distortion with 0.237 similarity score
-----
Number 4:
I Should Love Again by Ben Neenan with 0.233 similarity score
-----

In [149]: recommendations.get_recommendations(
    {'name': 'Love Me Do', 'year': 1963},
    # name: 'India (The 1950s)', 'year': 1951},
    # name: 'Lilou', 'year': 1961},
    # name: 'El Deseo', 'year': 1982},
    # name: '999', 'year': 1982}, 4)

Out[149]:
Your Recommendations for the Movie Star Wars (1977) are:
1 Star Wars Back, The (1986)
2 Return of the Jedi (1983)
3 Raiders of the Lost Ark (1981)
4 Austin Powers: International Man of Mystery (1997)
  
```

FIG 2: RESULTS OF RECOMMENDATION

```

In [150]: recommendation2 = {
    "movie": movies[movie],iloc[100],
    "number_movies": 4
}

In [151]: recommendations.recommend(recommendation2)

The 4 recommended movies for Star Wars (1977) are:
Number 1:
Star Wars Back, The (1986)
-----
Number 2:
Return of the Jedi (1983)
-----
Number 3:
Raiders of the Lost Ark (1981)
-----
Number 4:
Austin Powers: International Man of Mystery (1997)
  
```

FIG 3: RESULTS OF RECOMMENDATION MOVIE NAMES

```

In [152]: recommendations.get_recommendations(
    {'name': 'Star Wars (1977)', 'year': 1977},
    # name: 'Star Wars Back, The (1986)', 'year': 1986},
    # name: 'Return of the Jedi (1983)', 'year': 1983},
    # name: 'Raiders of the Lost Ark (1981)', 'year': 1981},
    # name: 'Austin Powers: International Man of Mystery (1997)', 'year': 1997},
    4)

Out[152]:
Your Recommendations for the Movie Star Wars (1977) are:
1 Star Wars Back, The (1986)
2 Return of the Jedi (1983)
3 Raiders of the Lost Ark (1981)
4 Austin Powers: International Man of Mystery (1997)
  
```

FIG 4: RESULTS OF RECOMMENDATION MOVIE

6 Conclusion

Our findings from the experiment are summarized here. To improve the quality of music recommendations, music recommender systems should first take music genre information into account. Based on the attributes of the songs, the music recommender can make song recommendations. By calculating the similarity score for each recommended song, the music recommender can detect plagiarism in the dataset used. By comparing the lyrics of the supplied song to all the other songs in the dataset, the mood of the song is predicted. The anticipated mood and similarity scores are then used to recommend music depending on the mood. Because different music recommender systems operate in various ways, the complexity of machine learning systems like the Music Recommendation System prevents them from having a uniform framework. Our findings allow us to identify additional music aspects for future research in order to increase the recommender system's accuracy, such as employing tempo program to record the local tempo at a certain time. Recommender systems enable e-commerce sites to be very user- and buyer-customizable. They enable businesses to more fully comprehend their users, offer individualized stores, and ultimately boost client happiness and loyalty. They are put into practice by leveraging various already-existing data mining technologies and modifying them for the purpose. Association rules, collaborative filtering, content-based filtering, and hybrid filtering are all common techniques. Association rules are used to create recommendations based on prior transactions the user has already shown interest in. The active user can use collaborative filtering to Due to the dynamic nature of changing search history, ratings, and the introduction of new products, the suggestions are also updated. This also presents a number of difficulties, such as cold start, dealing with anonymous users, building a social recommendation system that can support multiple active users, dealing with several different data sources, and scalability with growing data. Upcoming Work Recommender systems have been widely employed in e-commerce sites over the years, but they continue to provide theoretical and practical issues, including scalability, rich data,



suggestions that focus on consumers, anonymized users, and connecting recommenders to markets. They are employed on significant websites like Amazon, where millions of products are sold, actively presenting suggestions to tens of thousands of consumers at once in real time. Among the performances being watched are the number of recommendations generated late, number of consumers, items, requests being handled simultaneously, and the volume of rating and review information available. Different data mining approaches, including dimensionality reduction and parallelism, are used to address this issue. The sparsity of ratings is a challenge when scaling utilizing data mining approaches. When most of the products have not yet received user ratings, the recommender system is useful. It is less probable that ratings from various user groups for various product categories would overlap and be utilized to provide recommendations. Although dimensionality reduction algorithms are used to address this, they must be adapted for recommender systems because they are unsuited for highly sparse data. While a lot of data will make the system slower, Lack of data will make it more difficult to make recommendations.

7 References

1. D. Arditi, Digital Subscriptions: The Unending Consumption of Music in the Digital Era, Popular Music and Society (2017).
2. Choi K, Fazekas G, Sandler M. Automatic Tagging using Deep Convolutional Neural Network. arXiv eprints arXiv:1606.00298. 2016.
3. Wang X, Wang Y. Improving Content-based and Hybrid Music Recommendation using Deep Learning. In Proceedings of the 22nd ACM international conference on Multimedia; 2014; Orlando. p. 627-636.
4. Everyone listens to music, but how we listen is changing. [online] Available at: <http://www.nielsen.com/us/en/insights/news/2015/everyone-listens-to-music-but-how-we-listen-is-changing.html> [Accessed 10 Oct. 2017].
5. Labrosa.ee.columbia.edu. (2017). Million Song Dataset | scaling MIR research. [online] Available at: <https://labrosa.ee.columbia.edu/millionsong/> [Accessed 10 Oct. 2017].
6. en.wikipedia.org. (2017). Netflix Prize. [online] Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Netflix_Prize [Accessed 11 Oct. 2017].
7. Linden, G., Smith, B. and York, J. (2003). Amazon.com Recommendations Item-to- Item Collaborative Filtering. [ebook]