



STUDY OF NEW CLASS USING SALAGEAN DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR WITH SOME MISSING COEFFICIENTS

¹Rahul Kumar D Katkade*, ²S.M.Khairnar, ³Shobha V.Rupnar & ⁴Bhagwat B. Gidhad

Department of Engineering Sciences ^{1,2,3}Ajeenkya D Y Patil School of Engineering, Pune-411047, Maharashtra, India. rkdtkade@gmail.com, smkhairnar2007@gmail.com

⁴Dr. D. Y. Patil Institute of Technology, Pimpri, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

Abstract

In this paper, we study a new class $\varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ using the Salagean differential operator. Also obtained various properties of given class such as coefficient estimates, convex linear combination, extreme points and their related results.

Keywords: Analytic functions, Univalent functions, Salagean derivative

AMS Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50.

Introduction

Let A_{uf} denote the class of analytic univalent functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{2k} z^{2k} \quad (1)$$

defined in the unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$.

Let φ_n denote the subclass of A_{uf} in U , consisting of analytic functions whose non-zero coefficients from the second onwards are negative. That is, an analytic function $f \in \varphi_n$, if it has a Taylor expansion of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{2k} z^{2k}, \quad (a_{2k} \geq 0) \quad (2)$$

which are univalent in the open disc U .

For $f \in A_{uf}$, Salagean [1], introduced the following operator D^n which is called the Salagean differential operator.

$$D^0 f(z) = f(z), \quad D^1 f(z) = f'(z)$$

$$D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We note that,

$$D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n a_{2k} z^{2k}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (3)$$

Now by Salagean differential operator, we describe the following subclass of φ_n .

Let $\varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ be the subclass of φ_n consisting of functions which satisfy the conditions

$$R \left\{ \frac{1 - z(D^n f)'}{1 - z(D^n f)' + (1 - \lambda)(D^n f) - (\alpha - \beta)} \right\} > \gamma \quad (4)$$

for some $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, 0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Coefficient Estimates

Theorem 2.1: A function f defined by (2) is in the class $\varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n a_{2k} [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma] < \gamma(\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1) \tag{2.1}$$

Where $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, 0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $n \in N_0$.

Proof: Suppose $f \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. Then

$$R \left\{ \frac{1 - z(D^n f)'}{1 - z(D^n f)' + (1 - \lambda)(D^n f) - (\alpha - \beta)} \right\} > \gamma$$

$$R \left\{ \frac{1 - z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n 2ka_{2k} z^{2k}}{1 - z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n 2ka_{2k} z^{2k} + (1 - \lambda)(z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n 2ka_{2k} z^{2k}) - (\alpha - \beta)} \right\} > \gamma.$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1$, then we get

$$\left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n 2ka_{2k}}{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n 2ka_{2k} + (1 - \lambda)(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n a_{2k}) - (\alpha - \beta)} \right\} > \gamma.$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n 2ka_{2k} > \gamma \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n 2ka_{2k} + \gamma - \gamma \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n a_{2k} - \gamma\lambda + \gamma\lambda \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n a_{2k} - \gamma(\alpha - \beta)$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n a_{2k} [\gamma 2k - \gamma + \gamma\lambda - 2k] < \gamma(\alpha - \beta) - \gamma + \gamma\lambda$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n a_{2k} [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma] < \gamma(\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1).$$

Conversely assume that (2.1) be true, we have to show that (4) is satisfied or equivalently,

$$\left| \left\{ \frac{1 - z(D^n f)'}{1 - z(D^n f)' + (1 - \lambda)(D^n f) - (\alpha - \beta)} \right\} - 1 \right| < 1 - \gamma.$$

But

$$\left| \left\{ \frac{1 - z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n 2ka_{2k} z^{2k}}{1 - z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n 2ka_{2k} z^{2k} + (1 - \lambda)(z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n 2ka_{2k} z^{2k}) - (\alpha - \beta)} \right\} - 1 \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n a_{2k} (1 - \lambda) - (\lambda - 1) - (\alpha - \beta)}{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n a_{2k} (2k - 1 + \lambda) + (1 - \lambda) - (\alpha - \beta)}.$$

The last expression is bounded above by $1 - \gamma$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n a_{2k} (1-\lambda) - (\lambda-1) - (\alpha-\beta) \leq (1-\gamma) \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n a_{2k} (2k-1+\lambda) + (1-\lambda - (\alpha-\beta)) \right]$$

Or

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n a_{2k} [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma] < \gamma(\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1)$$

which is true by hypothesis. This completes the assertion of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2: If $f \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ then

$$|a_{2k}| \leq \frac{\gamma(\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1)}{(2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma]}$$

Proof: From the Theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda_2 - \gamma] a_{2k} \\ & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda_1 - \gamma] a_{2k} \\ & \leq \gamma(\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1) \end{aligned}$$

For $f(z) \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda_2)$.

Hence $f(z) \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda_1)$.

Theorem 2.3: Let $f(z) \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. Define $f_1(z) = z$ and

$$f_{2k}(z) = z + \frac{\gamma(\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1)}{(2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma]} z^{2k}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

for some $0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, 0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $n \in N_0$ and $z \in U, f \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$

if and only if f can be expressed as $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{2k} f_{2k}(z)$ where $u_{2k} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{2k} = 1$.

Proof: If $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{2k} f_{2k}(z)$ with $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{2k} = 1, u_{2k} \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma] u_{2k}}{(2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma]} (\gamma[\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1]) \\ & = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} u_{2k} \gamma(\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1) \\ & = (1 - u_2) \gamma(\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1) \\ & \leq \gamma(\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. Conversely, let

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{2k} z^{2k} \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$$

define

$$u_{2k} = \frac{(2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma] |a_{2k}|}{\gamma(\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1)}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

and define $u_2 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} u_{2k}$.

From Theorem 2.1, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{2k} \leq 1$ and so $u_2 \geq 0$. Since

$$u_{2k} f_{2k}(z) = u_{2k} f + a_{2k} z^{2k}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{2k} f_{2k}(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{2k} z^{2k} = f(z).$$

Theorem 2.4: The class $\varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ is closed under convex linear combination.

Proof: Let $f, g \in S_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ and let

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{2k} z^{2k}$$

and

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_{2k} z^{2k}.$$

For ξ such that $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$, it suffices to show that the function define by

$$h(z) = (1 - \xi)f(z) + \xi g(z),$$

$z \in U$ belongs to $\varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. Now,

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(1 - \xi)a_{2k} + \xi b_{2k}] z^{2k}.$$

Apply Theorem 2.1, for $f, g \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. We have,

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma] [(1 - \xi)a_{2k} + \xi b_{2k}]$$

$$= (1 - \xi) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma] a_{2k}$$

$$+ \xi \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma] b_{2k}$$

$$\leq (1 - \xi)\gamma(\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1) + \xi\gamma(\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1)$$

$$= \gamma(\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1).$$

This implies that $h \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$.

Corollary 2.5: If $f_1(z), f_2(z)$ are in $\varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ then the function defined by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2}[f_1(z) + f_2(z)]$$

is also in $\varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$.

Theorem 2.6: Let $f_j(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{2k,j} z^{2k}$ belongs to $\varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$ and $0 < \lambda_j < 1$

such that $\sum_{j=1}^{2k} \lambda_j = 1$, then the function $F(z)$ defined by $F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{2k} \lambda_j f_j(z)$

is also in $\varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$.

Proof: For each $j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 2k\}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma] |a_{2k}| < \gamma(\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1)$$

$$F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{2k} \lambda_j \left(z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{2k,j} z^{2k} \right)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2k} \lambda_j a_{2k,j} \right) z^{2k} \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma] \left[\sum_{j=1}^{2k} \lambda_j a_{2k,j} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2k} \lambda_j \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma] \right] \\ &< \sum_{j=1}^{2k} \lambda_j \gamma (\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1) \\ &< \gamma (\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1). \\ &\therefore F(z) \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.7: Let $f(z) \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$. Komato operator of f is well-defined by

$$k(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{(c+1)^\phi}{\Gamma(\phi)} t^c \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\phi-1} \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt, \quad c > -1, \phi \geq 0$$

then $k(z) \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$.

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 t^c \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\phi-1} dt = \frac{\Gamma(\phi)}{(c+1)^\phi} \\ &\int_0^1 t^{2k+c-1} \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\phi-1} dt = \frac{\Gamma(\phi)}{(c+1)^\phi}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots \\ &k(z) = \frac{(c+1)^\phi}{\Gamma(\phi)} \left[\int_0^1 t^c \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\phi-1} z dt - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} z^{2k} \int_0^1 a_{2k} t^{2k+c-1} \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\phi-1} dt \right] \\ &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+2k} \right)^\phi a_{2k} z^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ and since $\left(\frac{c+1}{c+2k} \right)^\phi < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma] \left(\frac{c+1}{c+2k} \right)^\phi a_{2k} \\ &< \gamma (\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.8: Let $f \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$ then for every $0 \leq \delta < 1$ the function

$$\psi_\delta(z) = (1-\delta)f(z) + \delta \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt.$$

Proof: We have

$$\psi_{\delta}(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{2k} - \delta\right) a_{2k} z^{2k}.$$

Since, $\left(1 + \frac{\delta}{2k} - \delta\right) < 1, k \geq 2$, so by Theorem 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{2k} - \delta\right) (2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma] a_{2k} \\ & < \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (2k)^n [2k\gamma - 2k + \gamma\lambda - \gamma] a_{2k} \\ & < \gamma(\alpha - \beta + \lambda - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\psi_{\delta}(z) \in \varphi_n(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda)$.

References

- [1] Pall-Szabó, A.O.; Wanas, A.K. Coefficient estimates for some new classes of bi-Bazilevic functions of Ma-Minda type involving the Salagean integro-differential operator. *Quaest. Math.* 2021, 44, 495–502.
- [2] Tang, H.; Khan, S.; Hussain, S.; Khan, N. Hankel and Toeplitz determinant for a subclass of multivalent q -starlike functions of order α . *AIMS Math.* 2021, 6, 5421–5439.
- [3] Salagean G. S., Subclass of univalent functions, *Lecture Notes in Mathe.*, Springer Verlag, (1983), 362-372.
- [4] Silverman H., Univalent functions with varying arguments, *Houston Journal of Math.*, 7(2) (1981).
- [5] Latha S. and Dileep L., A note on Salagean type functions, *Global Journal of Mathematical Sciences : Theory and Practical*, 2(1) (2010), 29-35.
- [6] Eker S. S., Owa S., Certain classes of analytizing functions involving Salagean operator, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 10(1) (2009), 1-22.
- [7] Rahulkumar Katkade, Certain Subclasses of Analytic and Univalent Function by Ruscheweyh Derivative, *International Journals of Pure and Engg. Maths (IJPEM) ISSN 0973-9424, Volume 1 No. I (Dec., 2013), pp.93-102.*
- [8] Rahulkumar Katkade, A Linear Operator of a New Class of Univalent Functions With Missing Second Coefficient, *International Journals of Maths Sci. & Engg. Appl. (IJMSEA) ISSN 0973-9424, Vol. 8 No. II (March, 2014), pp. 257-269.*
- [9] Mostafa A. O., A study on starlike and convex properties for hypergeometric functions, *JIPAM*, 10 (Issue 3, Article 87) (2009), 8pp.