



## THE FLOWACTIVITY OF PEDESTRIAN ALONG SIDEWALKS

**Vishal** Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, SOET, K.K. University, Bihar  
Prof. **Deepak Kumar** Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, SOET,  
K.K. University, Bihar

**Abstract-** Within Indian cities, walking on foot is a very important way of transportation and is also quite effective for short distances. Data on pedestrian sidewalking was gathered for this study at three different Biharsharif (Nalanda) municipal areas. Data on single-directional flow and unstable bi-directional flow from ShramKalyanMaidan to Ramchandrapur were collected from three different places. These data were examined in order to identify pedestrian features such as density, pace, and flow as well as to create the basic graphics. Basic pedestrian diagrams and pedestrian characteristics vary depending on the pedestrian's gender, age, and the amenities they utilise (such as sidewalks, broad sidewalks, and prescients).. In the course of this study, "pedestrian characteristics, fundamental diagrams for uni-directional flow as well as bi-directional flow, comparison of pedestrian fundamental diagrams between uni-directional and bi-directional flow, capacity, and level of service (LOS) for above all sections" were all examined. Hypothesis testing was carried out during this experiment to evaluate the pedestrian speed between various segments and combinations of sections.

**Keywords:** *Walking, Pavements, Testing of Hypotheses, Capacity, Level of Service (Los) for Pedestrians, As Well As Pedestrian Characteristics (Speed, Flow, And Density).*

### INTRODUCTION

When travelling by foot, walking is an essential mode of transportation. Short distance transport trips benefit from this kind of modality. Walking is a popular mode of transportation in middle- and lower-class cities. In the transportation sector, a lot of journeys begin and end on foot. Walking is a major mode of transportation in a developing country like India. Because of our efforts to increase Pedestrian facilities, India's urban population has been increasing every year; in 2001, it was 27.81%; ten years later, in 2011, it had risen to 31.16%. In Thiruchirapalli, 64.7% of all trips, according to one survey (arasan et al., 1994), are made by foot. According to Montgomery (2006), all people walk every day, regardless of their income, according to a different survey done in Mumbai. Pedestrian facilities, which are a key factor in urban settings, have been improved. In order to promote walking, local governments are becoming interested in offering high-quality pedestrian facilities. According to a modal split research carried out in Mumbai, India, 52.4% of the almost 2.85 million trips are made by foot (MMRDA 2008). Compared to Asian and Latin American cities, African cities have more walking excursions. African, Asian, and Latin American cities have an average walking trip proportion of 57, 37, and 22%, respectively (Montgomery 2006). There are two categories of pedestrian movement: pedestrian crosswalks and pedestrian sidewalks. I have taken into account the pedestrian pavement in this study. In metropolitan locations, the offered and enhanced pavement facilities were more crucial. Urban regions have a variety of sidewalk types, including sidewalks, wide sidewalks, and precincts. This report will take pedestrian capacity and level of service (LOS) into account. When it comes to capacity, "it means the maximum number of pedestrians that can pass through a point in a given amount of time." The phrase "level of service" (LOS) refers to how well pavements function in terms of comfort, convenience, speed, and flow. The pedestrian speed, density, and flow determine the pedestrian sidewalk's capacity and level of service. A pedestrian's walking speed is influenced by their age, gender, and the type of facilities. On large sidewalks, pedestrians move more quickly than in precincts. When compared to female pedestrians, men pedestrians move more quickly. The speed of older pedestrians is lower than that of younger pedestrians.



### LITERATUR REVIEW

**(i) Pedestrian characteristics** -A successful pedestrian system depends heavily on the characteristics and facilities research of pedestrians. Many different people have undertaken numerous research in the past. Pouls (1983) was conducting an experiment on the analysed qualities and characteristics of pedestrian flow on sidewalks . He had conducted an experiment on people walking on the street in Haifa's major business district.. He had described the examination of the degree of service and pedestrian flow in this work. The amount of people walking also increased, and walking speed significantly decreased. There are larger discrepancies in walking speeds between men and women. In mixed traffic situations, Oeding (1963) described an experiment on pedestrian characteristics like speed, flow, and density., and he also conducted research in 1968 on the walking habits of British shoppers. Comparative studies of various cultures and nations have been conducted by some researchers. According to a research by Morrall (1991) comparing pedestrian speeds in Western and Asian nations, Asian nations' pedestrian speeds were much slower than those in the West. Tanaboriboon (1986) examined the characteristics of Singapore's pedestrian population. He came to the conclusion that Singaporean pedestrians move at a substantially slower average speed than American pedestrians. The greatest flow rate attained here, however, is higher than flow rates in western nations. Through modelling, Robert Raeside (2007) studied the walking speeds of people on pavements.. He has experimented in the UK utilising slow motion video survey to gather pedestrian data in order to identify correlations between speed-flow-density. Chattaraj (2009) conducted an experiment comparing the features of pedestrians from two distinct cultural backgrounds, such as German and Indian. He has demonstrated why as pedestrian density rises, German pedestrian speeds are more affected than Indian pedestrian speeds. Weiji Wang et al. (2009) have outlined the design parameters for an urban pavement landscape that takes emotional perception into account. This experiment was carried out in Korea's inner-city Iksan. He added that the minimum width for a pavement is 1.5 metres, the minimum width for a tree is 1.5 metres, and the maximum widths for both are set at 6.0 metres. The findings indicate that for a level of normal pleasure, a pavement width of 3.50 m and a tree width of 3.52 m are best. Arshad, A. K. (2012) conducted experiments on how pedestrians in Kuala Lumpur's business areas perceive pavement facilities. On-the-street surveys and questionnaires were used to gather data for this investigation. In this study, the opinions of 50 pedestrians were gathered. 25 of the 50. pedestrians in this group are between the ages of 17 and 49; the other ten are above 50. The selected pedestrian is approached by the interviewer as they wait at the end of the pavement and move from its beginning to its end. The elderly are reported to depend more on the transport services offered, but younger pedestrians are considered to be more concerned with safety.

**(ii) Pedestrian dynamics-** Bian Yang et al.'s (2007) research into pedestrian level of service assessment methods. In this study, information from 12 road way segment pavements was gathered. A total of 725 questions about level of service (LOS) were created for this survey. We can assign a score to each section of road after gathering information from pedestrians. Give the LOS rank once you've given the score. Researchers HoongChor et al. (1986), Daly et al. (1991), Daamen and Hoogendoorn (2007), and Ronald JhonGaliza and Luis Ferreira (2013) have all reported on experiments on fundamental diagrams and pedestrian characteristics. These researchers looked at pedestrian density, flow, and speed as well as their relationships..It has been documented how gender affects pedestrian density, flow, and speed. Jaisung Choi and colleagues (2013), Pouls et al. (1983). Marwan Al-Azzawi (2007) reported on a project that modelled the walking speeds of pedestrians on sidewalks. There were 7,535 pedestrians observed overall over the 38 hours of data collection that went into this study in the United Kingdom. Determining pedestrian speed, flow, and density by extracting the relevant information from the video.. The idea is to draw a rectangular box with fixed width and length on the video monitor screen, simulating the size of a virtual box on the ground.

### METHODOLOGY

Throughout this part, you can rely on the knowledge that the direction and gender of pedestrians are the two main variables affecting how they move along sidewalks. This study employs two different kinds of experiments. In the first kind of experiment, the influence of gender on pedestrian metrics like speed, flow, density, and their relationships along sidewalks was investigated. The basic flow, density, and distance headway graphs are provided in this study.

**(i) Experiments on impact of Pedestrian gender on Pedestrian characteristics-** The experiments in this section, which were carried out in the city of Biharsharif (Nalanda), were intended to provide the basic schematics for pedestrian movement along pavements. It should be noted that prior to this study, comparable experiments were carried out to create the essential schematics for many locations throughout the world and in India. The experimental setup and specifics of data collection are covered in the following subsection.

**(ii) Experimental set-up and data collection-** The size and shape of the data collection section, as indicated in Figures 1, 2, and 3, is taken into account on paved sidewalks; the data will be information on the movement of pedestrians in both directions. In the section depicted in Fig. 4, which is located in Soghra College Biharsharif (Nalanda), data on pedestrian unidirectional flow will be gathered. Following the collection of such data, data will be gathered for next activities in Ambagan and sector 2. The initial place was the daily market in Biharsharif (Nalanda), where the majority of the data was gathered. The initial section's suggested dimensions are 4.0 metres in length and 1.75 metres in breadth. These dimensions will be used for data collecting.



**Figure 1- Daily market, location 1**

The camera is set at a distance of 2.25 m from the inner edge of the observed section. The distance between the camera and the inner edge of the segment being viewed is 2.25 metres. In order to properly cover all four corners of a portion being watched, the camera is positioned in the right position above the tripod. The second section's width is two metres, and its length is four metres.  $l = 2.25$  m and  $w = 2.5$  m are the third section's length and width, respectively. By using chalk to outline the area, we choose the site and secure the experiment. The segment has a width that is equal to the pavement's width and a length of 4 metres. Because the data collection phase has been taking a very short time, pedestrian speed will vary less than vehicle speed. The width of the section will be measured at the pavement's width. The tripod, which the camera was resting on, will now adjust the horizontal levelling. We can record the timing after fixing the camera and turning on the video camera. Digital camera (mode: HXR-NX30/NX30P, Make: Sony) with frame rate (30 frames/s) and resolution (640480) will be used in this study to gather data. The camera will be situated on the side of the sidewalk where the data was collected. The video camera should be turned off after data collection before being used for data gathering.





**Figure 2- position 2: vicinity of Nala Road**



**Figure 3- Place 3, Fish market**





**Figure 4- shows unidirectional flow**

### DATA DECODING

I will decrypt the data once the data collecting is finished. get the total number of pedestrians crossing the section first, then record the times at which each pedestrian enters and leaves. Finally, use the equation below to get each pedestrian's speed.

$$u_p = \frac{l_o}{t_{in} - t_{out}}$$

Where,

*$U_p$  is the speed of the pedestrian,*

*$l_o$  is the duration of the site's observation,*

*$t_{in}$  is the pedestrian's entering time,*

*Pedestrian crossing time is known as  $t_{in} - t_{out}$ ,*

*whereas pedestrian leaving time is known as  $t_{out}$ .*

*In metres per second, pedestrian speed is expressed.*

For these three locations, all pedestrian speeds will be determined using the equation above. Once the speeds have been determined for all three locations, determine the minute-by-minute pedestrian flow for each location. The number of pedestrians who cross a segment in a specific amount of time is known as the pedestrian flow; the flow will now be determined minute by minute in each section.

Pedestrian flow,

$$q = \frac{n}{t}$$

Pedestrian flow is measured in ped/sec or ped/min. The aforementioned equation has been used in flow calculations. In the previous equation,  $t$  = time in minutes and  $n$  = number of pedestrians crossing the section. The density has been calculating the typical number of pedestrians in the area that has been seen at a given moment. Because pedestrian density is determined as the





number of pedestrians present per length of observed segment per unit of time, only the section's length and width will be taken into account.

$$\text{Pedestriandensity, } k = \frac{n}{l_0 \cdot w}$$

Units of Pedestriandensity = ped/m<sup>2</sup>.

= ped/m for meter width.

Where n is number of Pedestrians present in the section,  $l_0$  is length of observed section. Using the equation below, the pedestrian density has computed another process.

$$q = u \cdot k$$

Where k is the density of pedestrians (ped/m), u is the pedestrian speed (m/sec), and q is the pedestrian flow (ped/sec). We can determine the pavement density after calculating flow. The density of a section is defined as the number of pedestrians present in that segment per metre of its length. Using this method, we can determine the speed, flow, and densities of all sections.

The calculation of pedestrian distance headway, which is the opposite of pedestrian density, is shown in the following section. The average space supplied for each pedestrian in the walk way segment is known as the pedestrian distance headway or pedestrian space.

Distance head way  $a = 1/\text{density}$

Units of distance headway/ Pedestrianspace = m<sup>2</sup>/ped.

The pedestrians' speed, flow, density, and separation from the headway have all been calculated using the aforementioned method. Using this data, the pedestrians' free flow speed, capacity, and level of service of this walkway have then been calculated. The maximum number of pedestrians that can cross a section in a given amount of time is known as the capacity, beyond which the flow will be reduced as the density of pedestrians inside the walkway increases. Using a flow-density diagram, the capacity of this section was estimated for this study. The capacity of this section is defined as the flow that corresponds to the peak of the curve in the flow-density diagram. These capacities will be estimated at various time intervals within the same section. As the number of time intervals increases, an accurate capacity will be obtained. Next, all of the capacities will be averaged, and at that point, we will have the capacity of the entire section for the full time interval. Some pedestrian parameters, such as pedestrian space, pedestrian speed, and volume to capacity ratio (V/C), have been used to calculate pedestrian level of service (LOS). The LOS of each segment will be classified according to these pedestrian characteristics in a number of ways, including speed, average space, and (V/C).

Another test on pedestrian speeds (male/female/combo of male & female) in all three locations has been done in this section to compare male & female speeds. The Z-test, often known as hypothesis testing, is the test utilised in this study. In this study, the pedestrian speeds of various combinations will be compared using a two-tailed Z-test. Total male (all three locations M) and total female (F); f1&f2; f2&f3; f3&f1; location1&location2; location2&location3; location3&location1; m1&f1; m2&f2; m3&f3; m1&m2; m2&m3; m3&m1 These combinations will be examined using the Z-test.

"Hypothesis testing" is the process by which we choose samples to find out more about features in a particular group. A methodical approach to evaluating assertions or theories about a population or group is hypothesis testing.



#### **Four steps to hypothesis testing:**

**(i) State the hypothesis** - If the mean of all pedestrians at any location (1) is equal to some sample mean (2) at that same location ( $1=2$ ), we can use the null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ); alternative hypothesis ( $H_a/H_1$ ) can be used if the null hypothesis is incorrect at that moment. In these three scenarios, the alternative hypothesis ( $H_1$ ) is that  $1 < 2$ ;  $1 > 2$ ; and  $1$

**(ii) Set the criteria for decision**- We specify the "level of significance" for a test in order to describe the decision-making criteria. the likelihood of receiving a statistic measured in a sample assuming the null hypothesis' value were accurate. Typically, the threshold for significance is set at 5%. when there is a less than 5% chance of getting the sample mean if the null hypothesis were correct.

**(iii) Compute the test statistic**- When the null hypothesis was correct, test statistics were used as mathematical formulas.

**(iv) Make a decision**- The test statistic can be used to decide whether the null hypothesis is true or false. This depends on the sample mean's (%) probability of being obtained. Probability that the sample mean is obtained when the null hypothesis is true is 5%.

### **CONCLUSION**

on pedestrian sidewalks in three locations, including the daily market, nala road, and the SOGHRA COLLEGE campus in Biharsharif (Nalanda), to demonstrate pedestrian flow behaviour along sidewalks and to demonstrate the variation in pedestrian characteristics by gender and type of facility. Additionally, a hypothesis test was undertaken to compare the speeds of various combinations of pedestrians. We compared the fundamental pedestrian flow diagrams for unidirectional and bidirectional traffic in this study. In the study's experimental setup, a section will be chosen, a tripod will be set up perpendicular to it at a distance from the section's edge, a video camera will be positioned above the tripod, and then videos at intervals will be taken. A hypothesis test will also be undertaken for the purpose of comparing the walking speeds of pedestrians after this video has been decrypted. the behaviour of pedestrians moving along sidewalks in various locations throughout Biharsharif (Nalanda) city, as well as on the changes in pedestrian characteristics between pedestrian uni-directional flow and pedestrian bi-directional flow. According to the study's findings, male pedestrian speeds are higher than female pedestrian speeds in each of the three sectors. In this study, Nala Road (section3) had slower average pedestrian speeds than the daily market (section1). Nala Road has wider pedestrian sidewalks than the other portions, and the sidewalk surface is level because of the pedestrians. Nala Road will have more pavement. Male pedestrian speeds in sections 2 and 3 will be comparable because Z-observed value is between Z-critical value, making this combination relevant. The hypothesis test will be undertaken in various combinations of pedestrians in various parts. Pedestrian uni-directional flow will have the highest flow (capacity) in this study when compared to pedestrian bi-directional flow. In the graph of pedestrian flow vs density, the unidirectional and bidirectional flow lines will initially coincide until the unidirectional flow line has passed the bidirectional flow line.

### **REFERENCES**

- [1]. Hankin, B.D. and Wright, R.A. (1958). Passenger Flow in Subways. Operational Research Quarterly, 9(2), pp.81–88.
- [2]. Oeding, D. (1963). Verkehrsbelastung und Dimensionierung von Gehwegen und Anderen Anlagen des Fußgängerverkehrs. Tech. Rep. Forschungsbericht 22, Technische Hochschule Braunschweig.
- [3]. Older, S.J. (1968). Movement of Pedestrians on Footways in Shopping Streets. Traffic Engineering and Control, 10(4), pages.160–163.



- [4]. Navin, F.P.D. and Wheeler, R.J. (1969). PedestrianFlow Characteristics. Traffic Engineering, 39(9), pages.30–36.
- [5]. Mori, M. and Tsukaguchi, H. (1987). A New Method for Evaluation of Level of Service in PedestrianFacilities. Transportation Research Part A, 21A (3), pages. 223– 234.
- [6]. Weidmann, U. (1993). Transporttechnik der Fußgängers. Tech. Rep. 90, InstitutfürVerkehrsplanung, Transporttechnik, Strassen und Eisenbahnbau,Zürich.
- [7]. Seyfried, A., Steffen, B., Klingsch, W. and Boltes, M. (2005). The Fundamental Diagram of PedestrianMovement Revisited. Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment, P10002.
- [8]. Helbing, D., Johansson, A. and Al-Abideen, H.Z. (2007). Dynamics of Crowd Disasters: An Empirical Study. Physical Review E, 75(4), pages. 046109(1–7).
- [9]. Polus, A., Joseph, J.L. and Ushpiz, A. (1983). PedestrianFlowandLevelofService. Journal of Transportation Engineering, ASCE, 109(1), pages. 46–56.
- [10]. Hoogendoorn, S.P. and Daamen, W. (2005). PedestrianBehavior atBottlenecks. Transportation Science, 39(2), pages. 147–159.
- [11]. SEYFRIED, A., Passon, O., Steffen, B., Boltes, M., Rupprecht, T. and Klingsch, W. (2009). New Insights into Pedestrian Flow Through Bottlenecks. Transportation Science, 43(3), pages.395–406.
- [12]. Henderson, L.F. and Lyons, D.J. (1972). Sexual Differences In Human Crowd Motion. Nature, 240(5380), pages. 353–355.
- [13]. Young, S.B. (1999). Evaluation of Pedestrian Walking Speeds In Airport Terminals. Transportation Research Record, 1674, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, DC, USA, pages. 20–26.
- [14]. Morrall, J.F., Ratnayake, L.L. and Seneviratne, P.N. (1991). Comparison of CBD PedestrianCharacteristics in Canada & Sri Lanka. Transportation Research Record, 1294, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, USA, Washington, DC, , pages.57–61.
- [15]. Isobe, M., Adachi, T. and Nagatani, T. (2004). Experiment & Simulation Of Pedestrian Counter Flow. PhysicaA, 336(3–4), pp. 638–650.
- [16]. Kretz, T., Gruenebohm, A., Kaufman, M., Mazur, F. and Schreckenberg, M. (2006). Experimental Study of PedestrianCounterflow in a Corridor. Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment, P10001.
- [17]. Hoogendoorn, S.P. and Daamen, W. (2004). Self–Organization in Walker Experiments. In Proceedings of the 5th Symposium on Traffic and Granular Flow, (Eds.: Hoogendoorn, S.P., Luding, S., Bovy, P.H.L., Schreckenberg, M. and Wolf, D.E.), Springer, Delft, the Netherlands, pp.121–132.
- [18]. Helbing, D., Bunza, L., Johansson, A. & Werner, T. (2005). Self Organized Pedestrian Crowd Dynamics: Experiments, Simulations and Design Solutions. Transportation Science, 39(1), pp. 1–24.