Industrial Engineering Journal
ISSN: 0970-2555
Volume : 51, Issue 03, March : 2022

GROWTH OF MUTUAL FUNDS FROM 2016-2020: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

[1*] *Dr. Deepti Mishra*, [2]*Mr.Santosh Kumar Roul*
[1]*Asst. Professor, Dept. of MBA, NIT BBSR,*
Asst. Professor, Dept. of MBA, AIMT, BBSR
*1*deeptimishra@thenalanda.com ,santoshroul1981@yahoo.com*

Abstract:

Mutual funds are financial products that aggregate investor capital so that everyone can trade stocks at the same time. The role that mutual funds have on capital markets is considerable; from 2016 to 2019, the number of open-end funds globally increased by about 40%. Entrusting benefits to skilled portfolio management, asset diversification, and lower returns than for direct investing, and access to international financial institutions and markets is one advantage. The benefits of transactions in mutual funds are substantial. The three largest investment managers in the world as of June 2020 are Blackrock, Vanguard Asset Managers, and State Street Global Advisors. This essay examines the development of mutual funds and their organizational structure in India.

Key Word: Financial instruments, growth funds, and mutual funds

I. Introduction:

A type of financial tool used to invest in the stock market is a mutual fund. It creates a pool of cash by accepting deposits from individuals, businesses, and non-resident Indians (NRIs) and investing them in securities, bonds, and other capital market products. Participants that share a common financial goal, such as capital growth and/or dividend income, create the money pool. When money is invested in a mutual fund plan, mutual fund units are distributed in accordance with the NAV, or net asset value. Mutual fund investing is beneficial since it diversifies the portfolio and is supported by professionals who help with making wise investment selections.In order to maximize capital appreciation, growth mutual funds invest in growth equities (developing companies). For this reason, they focus on companies that have a history of accelerating sales growth or that are relatively young yet show promise. On the other hand, the likelihood is typically increased. These funds, along with mix and value funds, are among the main categories of equity mutual funds. The three market capitalization categories are small, medium, and giant. A growth fund's portfolio consists of businesses that are evolving quickly and will offer investors higher returns. The money made from the sales is then used to fund new projects, investments, and expansions. Businesses that pay dividends are unimportant to a growth company.

II. Growth of mutual fund industry in India:

Internationally, the mutual fund industry began in Europe in the 19th century. In 1868, Robert Fleming established the first mutual fund firm, the "international and colonial investment trust," which agreed to invest and administer the assets of investors. With respect to mutual funds in India, however, it was not until later on that these funds were broadly accepted. Unit Trust of India (the first mutual fund in India) was established in 1963, at which point the nation started the mutual fund pathway. The evolution of mutual

funds in India took place in waves, starting with the inception in 1972, after which there was a gradual increase in the total number of participants from 10,000 to 60,000 in 1982, and then spiking up to two million in 1989.

Phase 1: UTI Formation and Growth (1964 to 1987) The first process saw the incorporation and introduction of the Unit Trust of India, which was made possible by Parliament passing an Act. The Reserve Bank of India was responsible for the establishment of UTI. It was the only organisation that welcomed deposits and sold mutual fund units since its inception. In the year 1964, UTI introduced its first system, the Device Scheme. UTI later launched numerous initiatives to meet the needs of Indian investors in the 1970s and 1980s. UTI initiated the first ULIP (Unit Linked Insurance Plan) in 1971, and the first Indian Offshore Fund was founded in 1986. UTI's development accelerated dramatically during this period, which lasted from its conception to 1987.

Phase 2:V A reliance on revenues, specifically tax revenues, as the primary source of funding (1987 to 1992) Additionally, public sector companies began to form mutual fund institutions, which gave other government-owned financial institutions such as investment banks and NBIs the option to do-it-yourselfs (i.e. established) banks the ability to establish their own mutual funds. These banking reforms paved the way for the way for the development of the mutual fund industry in 1987, with the establishment of the State Bank of India's first unit trust division. Finance followed the lead role of SBI and then came up with a whole host of its own funds such as Life Insurance Corporation of India, Bank of India, Indian Bank, and Punjab National Bank, each of which provided new options for financial investments. During this time, an investment era, the asset under management in this sector rose from Rs.67 crores to Rs.47 crores, with a participation of Indian investors investing large portions of their salary in mutual funds.

Phase 3: A part of public funds was made open to the private sector for investment (1992 to 1997) following the successful introduction of public sector funds in 1993, the Indian stock exchange became an even larger source of funds for both the public and private sector participants. The positive side, on this claim, this had the opposite impact of growing competitiveness among Indian mutual fund firms.

Phase 4: For this entire industry to come together, the market is consolidated under unified regulations was made possible with the expansion of a large and stable industry (expanding the decade of the decade 2000 to 2004) due to the simplified and regulation-heavy operation, the investor finds it easier to invest in various mutual fund companies.

III. Growth of Mutual Funds from 2016-2020:

Sharpe et al. (2017), This paper reflects some of the findings of recent studies in capital theory plus the activities of the mutual fund performance. Theoretically, we should assess success using an understandable yet complex metric that examines both average return and risk. in reference to the performance itself (e.g., the high average returns typically obtained by funds who consciously hold risky portfolios). And yet, regardless of this, there are distinctions among various funds.

Singh et al. (2015), Investigated observational analysis of mutual fund understanding and acceptability. The arrangement of the mutual fund, its function, the relation of mutual fund and bank investments, and the computation of NAV are all discussed in this article. A mutual fund is a professionally run pooled investment vehicle that pools funds from a number of investors and invests it in commodities, shares, short-term money management instruments, and/or other assets. A mutual fund is essentially a financial intermediary that helps a community of investors to pool their capital with the aim of achieving a certain investment goal.

Ashraf et al. (2013), Islamic mutual funds are compared to traditional funds in terms of performance. this

paper compares the 117 fund mutual funds on the sector that were featured on the Saudi market in 2007 to those actually listed on the nation in 2011, utilising the CAPM and Treynor model in order to identify variations in market timing and portfolio selection capabilities to a large degree, these prior IMF experiments were plagued by a data problem for which can be overcome, the paper uses regional benchmarks. The mean and standard deviation differences originate from the CAPM are applied to all the models to serve as a robustness audit for individual funds and to IMFs and traditional funds.

Panwar et al. (2017), Published an article onFeatures and efficiency assessment of a few mutual funds. Its terms of mean returns percent, public-sector funded funds do not vary substantially from private-sector sponsored funds, according to the report. In terms of average standard deviation, average volatility, and average coefficient of heterogeneity, moreover, there is a substantial disparity between public-sector and private-sector funded mutual funds (COV).

Detzler et al. (2016), This actively managed funds did not outperform a broad variety of indexes, net of expenses, and output was negatively associated with fund expenses. When returns on global bond funds were balanced for exchange rate volatility, they were more subject to depreciation due to international investments than they were during the study period when fixed rates of return prevailed. As far as the fund was concerned, the European, Canadian, and US bond markets were concerned, they had substantial exposure, but it was not as exposed to the Japanese economy and yen fluctuations.

Glode et al. (2011), They develop a parsimonious model in this paper that replicates the actively managed U.S. stock mutual funds' negative unconditional risk-adjusted results as well as the funds' marginally improved realised performance in poor economic times as compared to good times. The model focuses on a fund manager's optimum active management policy for achieving active returns that are based on the condition of the economy. When confronted with sensible buyers, the fund manager would concentrate his efforts optimally on achieving good results in poor economic times, when investors' marginal utility of consumption is strong. As a result, he can produce active returns that are positively correlated with the pricing kernel.

Singh et al. (2011), Published an article onInvestor Attitudes Against Mutual Funds as an Investing Option. A Research The arrangement of mutual funds, their activities, the relation of mutual fund and bank investments, and the measurement of NAV are all discussed in this article. The effects of different demographic influences on investors' attitudes toward mutual funds are investigated in this article.

Sharma et al. (2012), Published an article on Mutual Funds in the eyes of Indian investors. The mutual fund idea originated in the Netherlands in the 18th century and was brought to India in the 1960s by Unit Trust of India. The mutual fund sector was meant to be the most profitable area for Indian investors because it offers a diversified investment system with differing degrees of risk. It was said that it would undoubtedly drain the poor man's savings. In reality, however, it has not proven to be a popular investment option for Indians. The size of funds under administration in the mutual fund sector fluctuated significantly over nearly six decades (1965-2011). In comparison to developed countries, where almost any second investor owns a mutual fund device, the product has failed to gain momentum in India. The current paper aims to explore the causes for mutual funds' lack of acceptance as a primary investing vehicle in this context.

IV. Structure of Mutual Funds in India:

SEBI's rules have rendered the activities and workings of this sector very clear, and SEBI is working hard to safeguard the interests of investors. The mutual fund market is divided into four tiers as follows:

- **Sponsor:** A sponsor is a corporation that creates a mutual fund, either individually or in partnership with another company. This supporter is required to invest 40% of the wealth management firms' net worth.

Industrial Engineering Journal
ISSN: 0970-2555
Volume : 51, Issue 03, March : 2022

• **Panel of Trustees:** A board of trustees is an autonomous third-party board that is responsible for safeguarding the unitholders' interests by holding and overseeing the mutual fund's stock.

• **Asset Management Company (AMC):** The AMC is the investor's investment manager. This body is in charge of spending the money of investors in different stock market instruments.

• **Custodian:** All mutual funds shall park their shares with a SEBI-registered custodian bank, according to SEBI regulations.

V. Mutual Fund and Growth Factor:

Knowing what a growth fund it isn't enough; this just need to know how to choose one. Here are few suggestions:

Risk appetite: It's necessary to note that growth funds come with costs. A diversified large-cap fund is the ideal choice for a cautious investor searching for predictable returns. Mid-cap or small-cap portfolios, on the other side, are a good option if able to take chances in exchange for better returns.

Make the right choice: Since there are so many growth mutual funds on the market, this can compare them carefully before investing in one. Comparing returns is the most effective method. This can measure returns over multiple time intervalsone, three, or five years to decide which one worked better. The Angel BEE software compares growth funds to assist in making the best decision. For selecting the right mutual fund schemes, it uses the ARQ hyper-intelligent investing engine.

Check the costs: Investing in growth mutual funds comes with certain drawbacks, such as the expense ratio, which is the fee pay to get the money managed. The cost ratio refers to the sums spent on management, promotion, and administration. A 1% spending ratio indicates that 1% of the fund's net funds would be used to cover expenditures. Since a large cost ratio would reduce the returns, it can select a growth fund with the lowest numbers.

Time frame: When it comes to expenditure calculations, the time period is crucial. In the near run, stock prices rise and fall, but the longer-term trajectory has been upward. To enjoy the true rewards, this must save for the long run. A time period of 10-15 years is optimal, but even five years can produce acceptable results. Younger individuals should save a larger portion of their savings in development funds. If looking to save for the short term, a debt fund may be a better option since the returns are more stable.

Fund manager: As previously said, the ability of a portfolio manager determines the success of growth mutual funds. A top investment manager would be able to identify high-potential stocks and generate above-average returns for the growth fund. Until investing, make sure the investment manager has expertise and a track record overseeing a growth fund.

Reference: Sharpe, W. F. (2017). Mutual fund performance. Chicago journals, 39(2), 119-138.

Singh, B.K., Jha, A. K. (2015). An empirical study on awareness and acceptability of mutual fund. Research gate.

Ashraf, D. (2013). Performance evaluation of Islamic mutual funds relative to conventional fund.

International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management, 6(2), 105-121.

Panwar, & Madhumathi, R. (2017). characteristics and performance evaluation of selected mutual funds in INDIA.

Dahlqui, M., Engstrom, S. (2020). Performance and Characteristics of Swedish Mutual Funds. Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 35(3).

Detzler, M.L. (2016). The performance of global bond mutual funds. Journal of Banking & Finance, 1195-1217.

Glode, V. (2011). Why mutual-funds‘‘underperform’’. Journal ofFinancialEconomics. 9(9), 546–559.

Grinblatt, M., & Titman, S. (1994). A Study of Monthly Mutual Fund Returns and Performance Evaluation Techniques.

Singh, B.K. (2011). A Study on Investors’ Attitude towards Mutual Funds as an Investment Option. Journal of Asian Business Strategy, 1(2), 8-15.

Sharma, N. (2012). Indian Investor’s Perception towards Mutual Funds. Business Management Dynamics, 2(2), 01-09.

Baks, K.P., & Metrick, A. (2001). Should Investors Avoid All Actively Managed Mutual Funds. Wharton Faculty Research.

Kacperczyk, M., & Sialm, C. (2004). On the Industry Concentration of Actively Managed Equity Mutual Funds.