



A CLOUD ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYTICAL STUDY OF ALGORITHMS FOR EFFECTIVE RESOURCE SCHEDULING

¹Suneel Sajja, ²Sukerthi Sutraya, ³Sireesha Chakravaram, ⁴Palam Rajani

^{1,2,3}Assistant Professor, ⁴UG Student, ^{1,2,3,4}Department of Information Technology, Rishi MS Institute of Engineering and Technology for Women, Kukatpally, Hyderabad.

ABSTRACT

This study describes the analysis of many papers utilizing various aspects. Which method was the best according to whatever criteria, such as topology, etc. It outlines the top algorithm for various tasks involving resource scheduling as a methodical strategy to allocate resources in cloud computing that has been proposed so far. the dynamic priority-based energy-efficient virtual resources approach, among others. The specific highlight the present methods that are conception to knock about cloud and help in foremost resource scheduling.

Keywords - Virtual resources, Dynamic priority allocation, Energy efficient.

INTRODUCTION

Cloud computing is the sharing of resources through the internet for on-demand use. These days, cloud computing is a rapidly evolving mechanism that offers a variety of services to customers. Services that are more affordable are required. Pay-per-click services are offered using cloud computing. Owing to the fact that users only pay for the services they use, cloud computing is often referred to as on demand computing. Many benefits exist, including inexpensive service costs, great computational power, scalability, better performance, availability, and accessibility. For many years, the use of clouds has increased quickly in the scientific community and other industries. Its popularity increase day by day on account of current advancement in virtual technologies. In clouds there are various applications; services are used according to pay-per-use basis. Although, in heterogeneous clouds scheduling is very demanding task due to bounded cloud resources with varied functionality as well as capacities [1]. The main challenge is user task are created by which manner so that quality of services (QoS) for all tasks increased [4]. Cloud computing enables share pool of computing resources for convenient and on-demand network access that needs to be managed. Energy optimization in cloud computing is demanding. This is a wide range computing using virtual resources. As with low cost alternative and also Performance ids high, so its popularity increase day by day. There have been various clouds delivers like Hadoop, Nimbus etc. Each server has lean resources so required to be scheduled. Service Level Agreements (SLAs) are used for Quality of Services(QoS) for the allocation of resources to the task that have required scheduling the resources as well as tasks coming to resources. It is optimal, secure, convenient and optimal. It includes overall cost like data transfer and information transfer cost. The main goal is to grunted consumers and providers by providing maximum profit and resource efficiency.

NEED OF RESOURCE SCHEDULING

In cloud services there is a exceptional requirement of scheduling is required that also subsequently used by the Task Scheduling inner side the resources. Single Resource could have numerous instances that can be run at the same time. There must be look into Load balancing, reliability and availability amidst the resources of the same type. Its essential to have a procedure that check them and allocate the resources in optimal way. According to the user requirements the tasks are scheduled and computations are performed

for the optimal results. In Clouds Virtual resources are used and provide QoS with cost, time and energy efficiency.

ALGORITHMS FOR WITH DOMAINS

a.) Energy Efficient Optimization Methods based Algorithm: It is based on the Hadoop distributed file system, and it includes Energy Management and Regulation (also known as Green HDFS). Because previous energy-saving techniques were ineffectual, capitalize on technology breakthroughs. An algorithm is used to study the energy consumption of various computing resources in the cloud, such as storage, nodes, and networks. The consumption of energy used is determined by the type of job, whether it is I/O, compute intensive, or storage. Clustering aids in energy conservation. This algorithm's three phases are infrastructure preparation, job pre-processing, and job execution. The Round Robin algorithm is used in the original context. [5].

b.) Dynamic Priority Scheduling Algorithms: This algorithm is employed in a three-tiered system comprised of service providers, resource providers, and end consumers. In terms of algorithm, this algorithm outperforms the First Come First Serve (FCFS) and Static Priority Scheduling Algorithms (SPSA). The DPSA reviews task unit schedules, recalculates them, and assigns them a priority, so enhancing the scheduling process. Though tasks retain their initial priority, new priorities for SLA between the user and the cloud, task features, task source, and cloud operations are being formed. This algorithm examines three queues of varying priority: highest, medium, and lowest. Every queue has a threshold that determines how long a task unit will wait in that queue. When the value of a task unit exceeds a specific threshold, the task unit is automatically moved to a higher queue. When the task reaches the top of the queue, it is sent to the required component. Finally, when comparing average values and priority variation by processing time, DPSA outperforms FCFS and SPSA. [6].

c.) High Performance Computing of Optimizing Virtual Machine : It is an HPC-aware novel scheduler built with Open Stack Scheduler. It wakes up the topology and distributes virtual machines equally. In certain cases, cloud computing has replaced supercomputers for people who cannot afford large clusters. Virtual machine approaches that take HPC into account are few and far between. Open stack and Eucalyptus have a minor effect on HPC. HPC aware solutions (topology awareness and hardware awareness) have been implemented to improve performance by allowing cloud providers to better utilize their equipment, resulting in improved profits. Open stack is a scheduler that selects a physical resource for virtual machine provisioning. Open Stack receives VM requests as part of the RPC message. The scheduler relies heavily on the host capability, which consists of a list of physical servers and their capabilities. The scheduling technique includes two phases: filtering (excludes hosts that do not have the required capacity) and weighing (computes fitness of filtered list using cost functions (e.g. free memory in a host). Following that, VM provisioning is performed using a sorted list of hosts. When scheduling, Open Stack does not take into account application kind, priority, processor heterogeneity, or network topology. HPC-Aware Scheduler: There are two methods at work: topology awareness (since the user is unfamiliar with the cluster, VMs are packed to nodes in the same rack rather than scattered around the cluster according to any placement criteria) and hardware awareness/homogeneity (cloud users unaware of underlying hardware where VMs are placed by ensuring that all VMs are allocated some task). The first modification is to use group scheduling rather than considering the k VMs problem as a single scheduling problem. The topology aware approach is utilized first, then the filtering phase (forming a list, then finding the maximum number of servers), and lastly the build plan. The scheduler groups the hosts for homogeneity, then applies an algorithm to those groups while keeping the settings in mind (currently CPU frequency). Platform suitability for HPC applications is influenced by application features, performance requirements and user

preferences. The emphasis is on HPC applications, which are composed of k parallel instances and require synchronization and topology-aware VM allocation to provide the application user with a sufficient list of VMs. In the future, it plans to merge HPC and non- HPC applications [8].

Non-Dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm II: This algorithm is recommended for multi- objective virtual resource optimization. When a resource request is made, the virtual resource plan is translated into physical resources with proper load balancing, which is a tough operation. This algorithm is the most efficient when compared to rank, random, and static algorithms. Between the users and the physical layer is the virtualization layer, which has three features: usability, safety, and mobility. They're the result of hyper vision's autonomy. The creation of a number of instances of true bodily resource nodes with properties abstracts virtual resources. Object functions, code, and a search mechanism make up this heuristic algorithm. For load balancing calculations, object functions are available. Non-dominated sorting (finding the lowest value of an object function) and crowd degree are included in NDSA II (lower). Selection, crossover, and mutation are all present in the GA. The selection in this algorithm is tournament selection, the crossover is two point crossovers, and the original gene is replaced with a randomly produced one if the random number is picked in mutation. In terms of CPU utilization, memory, and bandwidth, the NDSA II algorithm outperforms the rank, random, and static algorithms since it delivers several options while only running once. [7].

d.) **Optimal Algorithm for Heavy Traffic:** The join-the-shortest-queue routing and power- of-two-choices routing with MaxWeight scheduling is optimal in throughput and they are queue length optimal in high traffic loads. Calculating the exact queue length is quite difficult so the system in heavy traffic regime (exogenous arrival rate is almost same as boundary of capacity region) was studied. Use of state space collapse (multi dimensional state reduces to single dimension) was there. The algorithm is applied on multiple models supported by multiple servers. Above models assume system is work conserving. Then the result converges to regulated Brownian notation and simple path optimality in scaled time. The method in the heavy traffic optimality is simpler and also in unscaled time consists of three steps: lower bound (weighted sum of expected queue length by comparing with a single server queue), state-space collapse (state of system collapsing to single dimension, queue length in particular direction increases and in perpendicular direction it is bounded) and upper bound (obtained by natural Lyapunov function). Heavy traffic is obtained when upper and lower bound coincides. The solution contains one routing and one scheduling algorithm. This is the stochastic model for load balancing and scheduling in clusters. The JSQ and MaxWeight is throughput optimal and traffic optimal when all servers identical. And also the power-of-two-choices is also heavy traffic optimal [11].

e.) **Trust Degree based Algorithm:** This algorithm takes into consideration the functional characteristics and provides better stability and low risk while completing tasks. It reduces threshold and risks in small and medium enterprises. The trust degree is determined by execution time and reliability. Trust degrees are stored by Scheduling logs at any time after that sort it decreasing wise. The computer slots whose trust degree is greater are called first. This algorithm is very reliable and stable [15].

f.) **Hierarchical strategy based PSO (Particle Swarm Optimization):** The transmission cost and present load is included in PSO. For getting local search and global search effectively a novel inertia weight is also included. It is helpful to decrease inter network costs and balancing the load. This all supports minimizing inter network costs and balancing the load [16].

g.) **Optimized Resource Scheduling:** SLA (Service Level Agreement) provides optimization as the resource scheduling problem known as NP hard problems. Resource composition problem are recognized by applied addressing SLA. The solution have minimum time is known as optimal solution [12].

CONCLUSION

Use of cloud computing surge day by day and umteen research is performed for reduction of cost and find optimal solution. There are many scheduling algorithms are initiate upto now. Each algorithm has its own proficiency, quality, surroundings, advantages and disadvantages. The research will be performed further for reduction of cost , time and energy efficiency for increasing load .

REFERENCES:

1. S.K. Panda and P.K. Jana, "Efficient task scheduling algorithms for heterogeneous multi-cloud environment," *J.Supercomput.*, vol.71,no.4,pp, 1505-1533, Apr. 2015.
2. Z. Cai, and C Chen, "Demand-driven task scheduling using 2D chromosome genetic algorithm in cloud", in *PIC*, Shanghai China, 2014, pp, 539-545.
3. X. Lin, Y. Wang, Q Xie, and M. Pedram, "Energy and Performance-Aware Task Scheduling in Cloud Computing Environment," in *Proc CLOUD*, Washington, DC , USA, 2014, pp.`192-199.
4. X.Zuo., G. Zhang, and W. Tan, "Self-adaptive learning PSO-based deadline Constrained task scheduling for hybrid IaaS cloud," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Sci. Eng.*, vol 11, no. 2, pp. 564-573, Apr. 2014.
5. Liang Luo, Wenjun Wu and DichenDi, FeiZhang, YizhouYan, Yaokuan Mao, 'A Resource Scheduling algorithm of Cloud Computing based on Energy Efficient Optimization Methods', *IEEE 978-1-4673-2154-9*, Vol. 12 (2012).
6. Zhongyuan Lee, Ying Wang, Wen Zhou, 'A dynamic priority scheduling algorithm on service request scheduling in cloud computing', 2011 International Conference on Electronic & Mechanical Engineering and Information Technology, *IEEE 978-1-61284-088-8*, Vol. 11 (2011), PP: 4665-4669.
7. Jianfeng Zhao, Wenhua Zeng, Min Liu, Guangming Li, 'Multi-objective Optimization Model of Virtual Resources Scheduling Under Cloud Computing and It's Solution', 2011 International Conference on Cloud and Service Computing, *IEEE 978-1-4577-1637-9* (2011), PP: 185-190.
8. Abhishek Gupta, Laxmikant V. Kale, 'Optimizing VM Placement for HPC in the Cloud', *ACM 978-1-4503-17542*, September 21, 2012, San Jose, California, USA, PP: 1-6.
9. ZhongniZheng ,Rui Wang, Hai Zhong, Xuejie Zhang, 'An Approach for Cloud Resource Scheduling Based on Parallel Genetic Algorithm', *IEEE 978-1-61284-840-2* (2011), PP: 444-447.
10. Simy Antony, Soumya Antony, AjeenaBeegom A S, Rajasree M S, 'Task Scheduling Algorithm with Fault Tolerance for Cloud', *International Conference on Computing Sciences*, *IEEE 978-0-7695-4817-3* (2012), PP:180-182.
- a. Siva ThejaMaguluri and R. Srikant, Lei Ying, 'Heavy Traffic Optimal Resource Allocation Algorithms for Cloud Computing Clusters', *ARO MURI W911NF-08-1-0233* and *NSF grant CNS-0963807*.
11. Qiang Li, YikeGuo, 'Optimization of Resource Scheduling in Cloud Computing', 12th International Symposium on Symbolic and Numeric Algorithms for Scientific Computing, *IEEE 978-0-7695-4324-6/10*, 2010, PP: 315-320.
12. Zhi Yang, Changqin Yin, Yan Liu, 'A Cost-based Resource Scheduling Paradigm in Cloud Computing', 12th International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Computing, Applications and Technologies, *IEEE 978- 07695-4564-6/11*, 2011, PP: 417-422.
13. RaduProdan, MarekWieczorek, Hamid Mohammad Fard, 'Double Auction-based Scheduling of Scientific Applications in Distributed Grid and Cloud Environments', *J Grid Computing* (2011) 9, PP:531–548.
14. MingshanXie, Mengxing Huang, and Bing Wan, 'A Resource Scheduling Algorithm Based on Trust Degree inCloud Computing', *Software Engineering Research, Management and Applications*, 2012, PP: 177–184.
15. Hongli Zhang, Panpan Li, Zhigang Zhou, and Xiangzhan Yu, 'A PSO-Based Hierarchical Resource Scheduling Strategy on Cloud Computing', *ISCTCS 2012, CCIS 320*, PP: 325–332, 2013.



16. Xuelin Shi and Ying Zhao, 'Dynamic Resource Scheduling and Workflow Management in Cloud Computing', WISE 2010 Workshops, LNCS 6724, PP: 440–448, 2011.
17. Huixi Li and Hao Li, 'A Research of Resource Scheduling Strategy with SLA Restriction for Cloud Computing Based on Pareto Optimality $M \times N$ Production Model', WISM 2011, Part I, LNCS 6987, PP:155– 165, 2011.
18. Yanbing Liu, Shasha Yang, Qingguo Lin, and Gyoung-Bae Kim, 'Loyalty-Based Resource Allocation Mechanism in Cloud Computing', Recent Advances in CSIE 2011, LNEE 125, PP: 233e–238.
19. WenhongTian, Yong Zhao, YuanliangZhong, Minxian Xu, Chen Jing, 'A DYNAMIC AND INTEGRATED LOAD BALANCING SCHEDULING ALGORITHM FOR CLOUD DATACENTERS', Proceedings of IEEECCIS2011, 978-1-61284-204-2/11, 2011, PP:311-315.
20. Lingli Deng, Qing Yu, and Jin Peng, 'Adaptive Optimal Global Resource Scheduling for a Cloud-Based Virtualized Resource Pool', STA 2011, CCIS 186, PP: 231–240, 2011.
21. Haihua Chang and Xinhuai Tang, 'A Load-Balance Based Resource-Scheduling Algorithm under Cloud Computing Environment', ICWL 2010 Workshops, LNCS 6537, PP: 85–90, 2011.
22. Chao-Tung Yang, Kuan-Chieh Wang, Hsiang-Yao Cheng, Cheng-Ta Kuo and Ching-Hsien Hsu, 'Implementation of a Green Power Management Algorithm for Virtual Machines on Cloud Computing', UIC 2011, LNCS 6905, PP: 280–294, 2011.
23. Sumeet S. Vernekar and Pravin Game, 'Component Based Resource Allocation in Cloud Computing', Proceedings of the InConINDIA 2012, AISC 132, PP: 907–914, 2012.
24. Hao Li and Guo Tang, 'Pareto-Based Optimal Scheduling on Cloud Resource', ICHCC 2011, CCIS 163, pp. 335– 341, 2011.