

ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 53, Issue 4, No. 5, April : 2024

LOAD FREQUENCY CONTROL OF AC MULTI-ISLANDED MICRO GRID SYSTEM USING PIDF CONTROLLER WITH PSO TECHNIQUE

M. PARAMESH Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Gates Institute of Technology, Gooty.

M. KAMALA, K. PUTHIN RAVINDRANATH TAGUR, G. PRASHANTHI,

S. PREM KUMAR, S. NAGA UG Scholars, Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Gates Institute of Technology, Gooty.

Abstract:

This paper proposes a method for improving load frequency control (LFC) in AC multi-islanded microgrid systems using a PIDF controller optimized with PSO. The approach considers the system's dynamic characteristics and uncertainties. The PIDF controller is designed to regulate frequency deviation and tie-line power fluctuations in each island. PSO optimizes the controller parameters for optimal performance. Simulation studies demonstrate that the proposed method outperforms traditional PID controllers in terms of frequency regulation, tie-line power control, and system stability, offering a promising solution for enhancing LFC in AC multi-islanded microgrid systems. *Key words: Microgrid, PI, PID and PIDF controllers, PSO optimization techniques*

INTRODUCTION:

Load frequency control (LFC) is a critical aspect of power system operation, ensuring the balance between generation and demand to maintain system stability and reliability. In recent years, there has been a growing interest in enhancing LFC performance, especially in AC multi-islanded microgrid systems, which are characterized by dynamic complexities and uncertainties. This paper presents a novel approach for LFC in AC multi-islanded microgrid systems using a Proportional-Integral-Derivative-Filter (PIDF) controller optimized with the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) technique. The PIDF controller is designed to regulate frequency deviation and tie-line power fluctuations in each island of the microgrid. The PSO optimization method tunes the PIDF controller parameters to achieve optimal control performance.

Simulation studies on a multi-islanded microgrid system under various operating conditions and disturbances demonstrate that the proposed PIDF controller with PSO optimization outperforms traditional PID controllers in terms of frequency regulation, tie-line power control, and system stability. This paper contributes to the advancement of LFC in AC multi-islanded microgrid systems, offering a promising solution for improving the overall reliability and stability of such systems.



Fig-1 Schematic diagram of Microgrid



ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 53, Issue 4, No. 5, April : 2024

CONTRIBUTION

This article discusses analysing load frequency control in a two-area interconnected microgrid system through several steps:

- The microgrid consists of a mix of traditional thermal power units and renewable energy sources like solar photovoltaic arrays, wind farms, diesel engine generators, and energy storage systems.
- An energy storage system (ESS) is integrated into the microgrid to regulate generation and load demand.
- Various controllers including Proportional-Integral (PI), Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID), and Proportional-Integral-Derivative-Feedforward (PIDF) are applied using the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) technique for frequency control.
- The performance of the PIDF controller is evaluated against PI and PID controllers to demonstrate its effectiveness.

DESIGN OF MICROGRID

The design of microgrids include Synchronous Generator, Wind Source, Solar PV arrays and Energy Storage System. The above listed sources are represented by their transfer function model.

1. Solar Photovoltaic system

A solar photovoltaic (PV) system is a renewable energy technology that converts sunlight into electricity using solar panels. These systems consist of solar panels, inverters, mounting structures, and other electrical components. Solar panels are made up of photovoltaic cells, which generate direct current (DC) electricity when exposed to sunlight.

The output of this source can be calculated by the equation given below

$$P_{pv} = S\varphi[1 - 0.005(T_a + 25)]$$

Where,

 β = Conversion efficiency

S = Area of photovoltaic array (m²) φ = solar irradiation (km/m²)

 $\varphi = \text{solar irradiation (km/m²)}$ T_a = Ambient temperature (C⁰)

Transfer function of the above system can be written as first order lag [4,5].

$$G_{pv}(sys) = \frac{\Delta P_{pv}}{\Delta \varphi} = \frac{K_{pv}}{1 + sT_{pv}}$$

Where,

 $K_{pv} = Gain constant$

 T_{pv} = Time constant, reciprocally.

2. Wind Power system

The wind subsystem refers to a component within a larger system, typically within the context of renewable energy generation. It encompasses the turbines, blades, tower, and associated infrastructure used to capture and convert wind energy into electricity. This subsystem plays a vital role in harnessing wind power, a sustainable and increasingly popular source of renewable energy worldwide.

The normal deviation of output power of wind system is given by

$$\delta_w = 0.8 \sqrt{P_w}$$

Output fluctuation on the given wind model is founded by the random output fluctuation is multiplied by deviation [6,7].



ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 53, Issue 4, No. 5, April : 2024



Fig-2 Model of Wind Power System

3.Synchronous Generator

In islanded mode, synchronous generators can be the main source of power generation, providing electricity to local loads independent of the main grid. In this mode, they need to

be controlled to maintain the balance between generation and load to ensure stable and reliable power supply. Synchronous generator systems play a crucial role in microgrids by providing stable and reliable power generation, supporting grid stability, and enabling the integration of renewable energy sources.

The Transfer function of this generator system is written as

$$G_g(sys) = \frac{\Delta P_v(sys)}{\Delta P_v(sys)} = \frac{K_t}{1 + sT_g}$$

Where,

 T_t = Time constant of the governor

 $K_t = Turbine time constant$

Whose value is set as 1[2,3].

4 Energy Storage System [ESS]

An energy storage system, as simulated in MATLAB, is a critical component in modern power systems aimed at enhancing grid stability, reliability, and efficiency. It encompasses various technologies such as batteries, supercapacitors, and flywheels, integrated with control algorithms to manage energy flow in and out of the system. MATLAB provides a comprehensive platform for modelling, simulating, and optimizing energy storage systems, allowing engineers and researchers to analyse performance, assess different control strategies, and design efficient storage solutions. By leveraging MATLAB's capabilities, practitioners can explore the dynamic behaviour, sizing, and integration of energy storage systems within complex power networks, contributing to the advancement of renewable energy integration, grid modernization, and sustainable energy management practices. The transfer function of this system is written as below

$$G_{ess}(sys) = \frac{\Delta P_{ess}}{\Delta \omega} = \frac{K_{ess}}{1 + sT_{ess}}$$

Where,

$$\begin{split} &K_{ess} = Gain \ Constant \\ &T_{ess} = Time \ constant \\ &\textbf{Power deviation and system frequency variation} \\ &The total power generation (P_t) of the microgrid system can be written as \\ &P_t = P_{sg} + P_{pv} + P_w + P_{ess} \\ &UGC \ CARE \ Group-1, \end{split}$$



ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 53, Issue 4, No. 5, April : 2024

The difference between the overall power generation and power demand reference is determined by $\Delta P_{e,}$ and it is determined as below

$$\Delta \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{e}} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{t}} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{d}}$$

By changing the net power frequency can be changed and is determined by

$$\Delta \omega = \frac{\Delta P_e}{K_s}$$

Where,

 K_s = System frequency characteristic constant

The Transfer function for the system frequency variation to per unit power deviation can be determined as

$$G_s(sys) = \frac{\Delta\omega}{\Delta P_e} = \frac{1}{K_s(1+sT_s)} = \frac{1}{d+ms}$$

Where,

m = equivalent inertia constant of the microgrid.

SIMULATION MODEL

The parameter values for the Island Multi-Micro-Grid system are sourced from references and detailed in Table-1. Wind power averages 0.4pu for Microgrid 1 and 0.3pu for Microgrid 2, while solar power averages 0.2pu for both Microgrid 1 and Microgrid 2. The synchronizing power coefficient is set at 1.5pu.



Fig.1.2 Proposed System Block diagram.

Parameter Values

The parameter values of this Island Multi-Micro-Grid system are brought from [2-5, 8-9] and given in the Table-1. The average value of wind power for Micro grid-1 and Micro grid-2 is kept 0.4pu and 0.3 pu respectively. The average value of solar power for Micro grid1and Micro grid2 is kept 0.2 pu for both. The synchronizing power co-efficient p_s is fixed as 1.5pu.



ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 53, Issue 4, No. 5, April : 2024

SL.No	PARAMETER	DEFINITION	Micrigrid1	Microgrid2
1.	R(PU)	Speed Regulation	0.05	0.04
2.	T _g (s)	Governor time constant	0.1	0.1
3.	$T_t(s)$	Turbine time constant	0.4	0.4
4.	$T_{pv}(S)$	Solar pv time constant	1.5	1.4
5.	$T_{ess}(S)$	ESS time constant	0.1	0.1
6.	Kess	Ess gain constant	-10	-8
7.	Ki	Internal gain	5	7
8.	B(pu)	Frequency biasing factor	10	12.5
9.	M(pu)	Inertia constant	0.8	0.7
10.	D(pu)	Damping constant	0.02	0.03

Table-1: Parameter values of studied Microgrid System

PSO Optimized Parameters

The time domain simulated results of different responses are acquired in the MATLAB 2016 Simulink environment. The present model of the Multi-Micro-Grid system is expanded in the Simulink environment, and the necessary programming of the PSO technique is written in a .m file. Table-2: PSO Optimized parameters for minimum fitness values

Controller parameters	PI	PID	PIDF
KP1	0.8754	9.5673	7.7019
KI1	4.6160	9.0491	9.9079
KD1	-	3.3180	2.1649
KP2	0.8629	7.9888	3.4949
KI2	0.9315	9.5791	6.0739
KD2	-	1.5922	7.8452
N1	-	-	138.7219
N2	-	-	145.1919

The superiority of the proposed PIDF controller with the PSO technique is presented by comparing it with conventional PI and PID controllers. The gains of the above controllers are optimized by the PSO algorithm

Output Waveforms







ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 53, Issue 4, No. 5, April : 2024



Fig-4.2 The simulation results of deviated power of microgrid-2 with PI, PID and PIDF Controllers using PSO optimization technique



Fig-4.3 The simulation results of energy storage system of microgrid-1 with PI, PID and PIDF Controllers using PSO optimization technique



Fig-4.4 The simulation results of energy storage system of microgrid-2 with PI, PID and PIDF Controllers using PSO optimization technique



ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 53, Issue 4, No. 5, April : 2024



Fig-4.5 The simulation results tie line power between two microgrids with PI, PID and PIDF Controllers using PSO optimization technique

Table-3:	The	performance	indices	of	the	various	responses	resulting	from	the	different
controller	s can	be tabulated as	s follows:								

Controller	PI			PID CONTROLLER			PIDF CONTROLLER			
	CONTR	OLLER								
Performance	Over	Under	Settling	Over	Under	Settling	Over	Under	Settling	
	shoot in	shoot in	time in	shoot in	shoot in	time in	shoot in	shoot in	time in	
	pu	pu	Sec	pu	pu	Sec	pu	pu	Sec	
$\Delta\omega_1$	0.0244	-0.0482	5.0602	0.0105	-0.0213	3.8239	0.0087	-0.0159	3.1874	
$\Delta\omega_2$	0.0224	-0.0423	6.3782	0.0104	-0.0164	3.6148	0.0103	-0.0162	2.1535	
P _{ess1}	0.3653	-0.1575	6.3755	0.1043	-0.0079	5.8915	0.0672	-0.0036	5.0211	
Pess2	0.2535	-0.1038	7.7380	0.0584	-0.0138	5.2162	0.0619	-0.0127	5.1578	
ΔP_{tie}	0.0005	-0.0277	13.1031	0	-0.0057	10.4668	0	-0.0046	8.8919	

Based on the tabulated results and analysis, the PIDF controller exhibited superior performance in terms of settling time, undershoot, and overshoot when compared to the traditional PI and PID controllers.

CONCLUSION

The application of PIDF controllers with PSO optimization in AC multi-islanded microgrids for load frequency control offers significant advantages. This study has shown that the PIDF controller, optimized using the PSO algorithm, provides superior dynamic response compared to traditional PID and PI controllers as shown in Table-3. By effectively stabilizing frequency, energy storage system dynamics, and tie-line power interactions in a two-area interconnected system, the PIDF controller enhances the overall performance and stability of the microgrid. Additionally, the PSO algorithm's interactive behaviour-based nature makes it a suitable optimization technique for tuning controller parameters. Overall, the combination of PIDF controllers and PSO optimization presents a promising approach for improving load frequency control in AC multi-islanded microgrids.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Chowdhury, S. P. Chowdhury, and P. Crossley, "Microgrids and Active Distribution Networks," The Institution of Engineering and Technology, 2009.
- [2] P. Kundur, "Power System Stability and Control," New York, USA: McGraw-Hill, 1994.
- [3] H. Saadat, "Power System Analysis," New York, USA:McGraw-Hill, 1999.





ISSN: 0970-2555

Volume : 53, Issue 4, No. 5, April : 2024

- [4] D. J. Lee, and L. Wang, "Small-Signal Stability Analysis of an Autonomous Hybrid Renewable Energy Power Generation/Energy Storage System Part I: Time-Domain Simulations," IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 311-320, March 2008.
- [5] P. K. Ray, S. R. Mohanty, and N. Kishor, "Small-Signal Analysis of Autonomous Hybrid Distributed Generation Systems in Presence of Ultracapacitor and Tie–Line Operation," Journal of Electrical Engineering, vol.61, no.4, pp.205-214, 2010.
- [6] M. Matsubara, G. Fujita, T. Shinji, T. Sekine, A. Akisawa, T. Kashiwagi, and R. Yokoyama, "Supply and Demand Control of Dispersed Type Power Sources in Micro Grid," Proceedings of the 13th International Conference, Intelligent Systems Application to Power Systems, pp.67 – 72, 2005.
- [7] X. Li, D. Hui, L. Wu, and X. Lai, "Control Strategy of Battery State of Charge for Wind/Battery Hybrid Power System," IEEE International Symposium on Industrial Electronics (ISIE), pp. 2723 – 2726, 2010.
- [8] D. Kottick, M. Blau, and D. Edelstein, "Battery Energy Storage for frequency regulation in an Island Power System", IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, vol. 8, no.3, pp.455-459, September 1993.
- [9] Prakash K. Ray, Soumya R. Mohanty, Nand Kishor, "Proportional– integral controller based small-signal analysis of hybrid distributed generation systems," Energy Conversion and Management, vol. 52, no.4, pp.1943–1954, April 2011.
- [10] N. L.Díaz, A. C. Luna, J. C. Vasquez, J. M. Guerrero, Centralized control architecture for coordination of distributed renewable generation and energy storage in islanded ac microgrids, IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics. 32(7) (2017). pp.5202-5213.
- [11] Y. Han, P. Shen, X. Zhao, J. M. Guerrero, An enhanced power sharing scheme for voltage unbalance and harmonics compensation in an islanded AC microgrid. IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion. 31(3) (2016), pp.1037-1050.
- [12] D.Mishra, P.C. Sahu, R.C. Prusty, Tidal Energy Integrated Robust Frequency control of Islanded AC Microgrid with Improved-MFO Tuned Tilt Controller. International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, Volume-9 Issue-1, November, 05, 2019. PP.3685-3692.
- [13] H.Qiu, W.Gu, Y. Xu, Z.. Wu, S.Zhou, J.Wang, Interval-Partitioned Uncertainty Constrained Ro-bust Dispatch for AC/DC Hybrid Microgrids With Uncontrollable Renewable Generators, IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid. (2018).
- [14] B. Zhao, Q.Haifeng, R. Qin, Z.Xue-song, W.Gu, C. Wang, Robust Optimal Dispatch of AC/DC Hybrid Microgrids Considering Generation and Load Uncertainties and Energy Storage Loss, IEEE Transactions on Power Systems. (2018).
- [15] D. Wu, F. Tang, T. Dragicevic, J. C. Vasquez, J. M. Guerrero, Autonomous active power control for islanded ac microgrids with photovoltaic generation and energy storage system, IEEE Transactions on energy conversion.29(4) (2014). pp.882-892.
- [16] P.C. Nayak, S.Patel, R.C Prusty, S.Panda, MVO-PS Optimized Hybrid FOFPID controller for load frequency control of an AC Micro-Grid System. International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, Volume-8, Issue-2, July 2019. pp.3805-3812.