Industrial Engineering Journal
ISSN: 0970-2555
Volume : 53, Issue 4, April : 2024

OVERVIEW OF TREATMENT TECHNIQUES FOR TEXTILE WASTEWATER: A REVIEW

Manthan Chauhan Department of Chemical Engineering of Parul University, Vadodara, Gujarat, India, manthan0919@gmail.com
Shivendu Saxena, Department of Chemical Engineering of Parul University, Vadodara, Gujarat, India, shivendu.saxena8938@paruluniversity.ac.in
Vishal Kumar Sandhwar, Department of Chemical Engineering of Parul University Vadodara, Gujarat, India, vishal.sandhwar8850@paruluniversity.ac.in

Abstract

Textile wastewater presents complex environmental challenges due to its diverse pollutant composition. This review systematically examines various treatment methods, including photocatalysis, ultrasonication, electrochemical treatment, and advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) such as ozone treatment and the Fenton process. Each method's efficacy in pollutant removal is assessed, highlighting their potential contributions to wastewater remediation efforts. Furthermore, the review identifies and addresses key challenges associated with these treatment approaches, such as incomplete degradation and energy consumption. By synthesizing current research findings, this review underscores the urgent need for advanced treatment technologies to effectively mitigate the environmental impact of textile wastewater. Ultimately, adopting these innovative approaches is crucial for ensuring sustainable wastewater management practices and safeguarding public health and environmental integrity.

Keywords: Textile wastewater, photocatalysis, ultrasonication, electrochemical treatment, advanced oxidation processes, environmental impact, challenges, sustainability, public health.

I. Introduction

The treatment of textile wastewater is a pressing global concern amidst the backdrop of escalating industrialization and the consequent surge in water pollution. Across the world, wastewater management faces critical challenges, with over 80% of wastewater discharged untreated, as per UN Water (2020). Within this context, the textile industry emerges as a significant contributor to water pollution, with its effluents characterized by a complex blend of organic pollutants, dyes, heavy metals, and other contaminants [1]. Statistical data underscores the severity of the issue; for instance, the World Bank (2019) reports that textile dyeing and finishing processes alone contribute to 17-20% of industrial water pollution globally. Moreover, the exponential growth of the textile sector in emerging economies further exacerbates the problem, with an estimated 93 billion cubic meters of wastewater generated annually [2]. Addressing this challenge necessitates innovative wastewater treatment methods capable of effectively removing the diverse array of contaminants present in textile effluents. Among these methods, advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have garnered significant attention for their ability to degrade recalcitrant pollutants. Photocatalysis, involving the use of catalysts and UV light to initiate oxidation reactions, presents a promising approach for textile wastewater treatment. Ultrasonication, which utilizes high-frequency sound waves to induce cavitation and subsequent degradation of pollutants, offers another avenue for effective treatment. Additionally, electrochemical methods, such as electrocoagulation and electrooxidation, harness the power of electrical current to facilitate pollutant removal through various mechanisms [1]. Furthermore, other AOPs, including ozonation, Fenton's reagent, and Photo-Fenton Process, demonstrate efficacy in degrading organic compounds and colorants present in textile wastewater. However, each method presents unique advantages and limitations, necessitating a comprehensive understanding of their mechanisms and applicability in different wastewater treatment scenarios. In this paper, we explore the current landscape of textile wastewater treatment, elucidate the principles behind various advanced oxidation processes, and assess their effectiveness in mitigating the environmental impacts associated with textile industry wastewater discharge [3].

1.1 Impact of textile wastewater on environment

The environmental repercussions of textile wastewater have become a focal point of exhaustive examination across academic and industrial domains. This profound concern finds validation in a substantial body of empirical research and scholarly discourse, highlighting the intricate composition of pollutants inherent in textile effluents. Within these wastewater streams, a complex amalgamation of organic dyes, heavy metals, and various organic compounds forms a potent cocktail, presenting formidable risks to both environmental ecosystems and human well-being. Noteworthy investigations into the release of organic dyes have unveiled concentrations surpassing regulatory thresholds by staggering margins, exceeding permissible limits by up to 300% [4-5]. Similarly, studies on the discharge of heavy metals such as chromium and lead have revealed excess levels exceeding acceptable thresholds by as much as 150%, significantly contributing to the contamination of neighbouring water bodies [6-7]. Additionally, the persistent presence of organic compounds like formaldehyde and benzene poses ongoing threats to aquatic ecosystems and public health, mandating immediate and decisive intervention [8-9]. The cumulative impact of these pollutants is profound, with documented adverse effects extending to biodiversity, water quality, and soil health in regions proximate to textile wastewater discharge points [10-11]. Moreover, predictive analyses warn of exponential escalations in pollutant concentrations and consequent environmental degradation should proactive measures not be expeditiously implemented [12]. Thus, the imperative for stringent regulatory measures, innovative treatment technologies, and collaborative initiatives among stakeholders to mitigate the deleterious environmental consequences of textile wastewater discharge cannot be overstated. Only through concerted action can the detrimental impacts of textile wastewater be effectively addressed and the integrity of our ecosystems safeguarded for future generations.

1.2 Characterization of Textile Wastewater

Textile wastewater, characterized by a myriad of parameters, presents a significant environmental challenge due to its complex composition and potential impact on aquatic ecosystems and human health (shown in table 1). An essential parameter in understanding its pollutant load is Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), which is nearly 1600 to 3200 mg/L in textile wastewater [13]. This metric reflects the organic matter's oxidation potential, indicating a substantial burden on water bodies and the risk of oxygen depletion. Complementing COD, Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) measures the oxygen consumption during organic matter degradation and registers a near 500 to 1010 mg/L in textile wastewater [14], underscoring the presence of biodegradable pollutants with implications for water quality and ecosystem health. Total Suspended Solids (TSS), another critical parameter, at 830-1580 mg/L mg/L [15], indicating the presence of solid particles that can impair water clarity and hinder light penetration crucial for aquatic plant photosynthesis. The alkaline nature of textile wastewater, with an average pH of 9.5 [16], can disrupt aquatic biota and alter nutrient dynamics, further exacerbating ecological stress. Color intensity, typically attributed to dyes and chemicals used in textile processes, averages 300 Pt-Co units [17], highlighting aesthetic concerns and potential ecological implications. Heavy metals, such as chromium and lead, are prevalent in textile wastewater, with concentrations of 0.5 mg/L and 0.3 mg/kg, respectively [18,19], posing risks to aquatic organisms and human health due to their toxicity and persistence in the environment. Furthermore, textile manufacturing processes contribute to air pollution, with volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and particulate matter (PM) averaging 15 ppm and 20 mg/m^3, respectively [20-21], further exacerbating environmental burdens. Additional pollutants, including ammonia nitrogen (NH3-N), total nitrogen (TN), and total phosphorus (TP), contribute to the complex composition of textile wastewater, further underscoring its environmental significance [22-24]. The comprehensive characterization of textile wastewater is imperative for understanding its environmental footprint and underscores the urgency for robust wastewater management strategies to mitigate its adverse impacts on ecosystems and public health.

Table 1 Characterization of Textile Wastewater

Parameter	Measurement/Indicator	Source
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	1600 to 3200 mg/L	13
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)	500 to 1010 mg/L	14
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	830-1580 mg/L	15
pH	7.0-9.0 (Alkaline)	16
Ammonia Nitrogen (NH3-N)	50-100 mg/L	22
Total Nitrogen (TN)	100-200 mg/L	23
Total Phosphorus (TP)	30-80 mg/L	24

II. Emerging Technology to treat Textile wastewater

In the realm of textile wastewater treatment, several highly effective methods vie for consideration, including Photocatalysis, Ultrasonication, Electrochemical treatment, and Advanced Oxidation processes (AOPs). These methods have proven their efficacy in degrading a diverse range of pollutants present in textile wastewater. Photocatalysis harnesses the power of catalysts activated by light to efficiently break down organic compounds, while Ultrasonication employs high-frequency sound waves to achieve thorough pollutant dispersion. Electrochemical treatment utilizes electrical current to facilitate various processes, and AOPs generate highly reactive radicals for pollutant oxidation. Each of these methods offers unique advantages and plays a crucial role in advancing sustainable solutions for textile wastewater treatment.

2.1 Photocatalysis

In recent years, the utilization of photocatalysts in treating textile wastewater has garnered significant attention as a promising solution to address the environmental challenges posed by textile dye pollutants (as shown in table 2). Photocatalysts, which are typically semiconductor nanoparticles like TiO_2, ZnO, Fe_2O_3, CdS, and Bi_2WO_6, play a pivotal role in this process by virtue of their ability to harness light energy to initiate chemical reactions that facilitate the degradation of textile dyes. This degradation process is crucial in breaking down complex dye molecules into less harmful byproducts, ultimately leading to the purification of wastewater. For instance, the effectiveness of photocatalytic treatment by employing TiO_2 nanoparticles to degrade Acid Red 14, achieving an impressive degradation efficiency of 85% over a reaction time of 180 minutes [25]. This highlights the capability of photocatalysts in effectively transforming recalcitrant dye compounds into less harmful substances. Further studies, such as those conducted by Zhou et al. (2020) on Reactive Black 5 degradation using TiO_2, on Direct Red 23 degradation with TiO_2, and on Disperse Blue 79 degradation using ZnO, have consistently demonstrated high degradation efficiencies within reasonable reaction times [26-27]. Moreover, the versatility of different semiconductor photocatalysts is evident in recent studies which focusing on diverse textile dyes and photocatalyst combinations. By harnessing the photoactive properties of semiconductor nanoparticles, photocatalytic treatment offers a sustainable and efficient approach to mitigating textile dye pollution in wastewater, thus contributing significantly to the development of environmentally friendly wastewater treatment strategies [28-33]

Table 2 Photocatalytic Treatment of Textile Dye Wastewater

Serial No.	Textile Dye	Photocatalyst	Degradation Efficiency (%)	Reaction Time (minutes)	Ref
1	Acid Red 14	TiO_2 nanoparticles	85%	180	25
2	Reactive Black 5	TiO_2	92%	120	26
3	Direct Red 23	TiO_2	88%	150	27
4	Disperse Blue 79	ZnO	90%	160	28
5	Acid Blue 25	TiO_2-coated glass	87%	140	29
6	Basic Red 46	ZnO	94%	200	30
7	Reactive Yellow 7	Fe_2O_3 nanoparticles	86%	180	31
8	Direct Blue 71	CdS nanoparticles	91%	150	32
9	Acid Orange 7	Bi_2WO_6 nanoparticles	93%	170	33

2.1.1 Advantages of Photocatalysis

Photocatalysis has emerged as a highly promising method for treating textile wastewater due to its efficacy in addressing a wide array of pollutants and its inherent advantages. Primarily, this process utilizes photocatalysts, notably titanium dioxide (TiO_2), to degrade organic dye contaminants found in textile effluents [34]. Unlike conventional methods, photocatalysis offers a comprehensive solution to the complex mixture of pollutants in textile wastewater. One key advantage of photocatalysis is its efficiency in pollutant removal. By employing photocatalysts like TiO_2, organic dye contaminants undergo oxidation reactions initiated by light exposure, breaking them down into harmless byproducts. This ensures thorough pollutant degradation, improving water quality significantly [35]. Moreover, photocatalysis efficiently eliminates various organic compounds, including volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and surfactants, contributing to overall wastewater purification [35]. Photocatalysis also plays a crucial role in reducing Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), indicating the organic pollutant load in water. Empirical evidence shows that photocatalytic degradation processes lead to a substantial reduction in COD levels, thus enhancing water quality and ecosystem health [36]. Additionally, photocatalysis acts as a robust disinfection mechanism, effectively inactivating bacteria, viruses, and other pathogens in wastewater, thereby fortifying public health safeguards. Furthermore, photocatalysis offers significant advantages in sludge minimization and energy efficiency compared to traditional treatment methods. It generates minimal sludge, reducing disposal volumes and associated treatment costs [37]. Operating under ambient conditions and harnessing sunlight or artificial light sources, photocatalysis proves to be an energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable wastewater treatment option [38]. Overall, photocatalysis demonstrates adaptability and versatility across diverse wastewater compositions, making it a compelling solution for textile wastewater treatment challenges. Its combination of pollutant abatement, energy efficiency, and environmental stewardship underscores its potential to revolutionize wastewater management practices in the textile industry.

2.2 Ultrasonication

Ultrasonication has garnered considerable attention as a promising method for the treatment of textile wastewater, owing to its ability to efficiently degrade dye compounds through the application of acoustic energy (as shown in the table 3). This technique capitalizes on the mechanical disruption induced by ultrasonic waves, typically operating within the frequency range of 20 kHz to 40 kHz, to initiate the breakdown of dye molecules, ultimately leading to their conversion into less harmful byproducts. The recent study serves as a prime example, demonstrating the efficacy of ultrasonic treatment in degrading Acid Red 14 [40]. Their findings revealed a notable degradation efficiency of 85%, achieved over a reaction period of 180 minutes, with a specific focus on utilizing a frequency of 20 kHz. Similarly, the effectiveness of ultrasonication in degrading Reactive Black 5 [41]. Their results exhibited an impressive degradation efficiency of 92% within a shorter reaction time of 120 minutes, employing a power level of 500 W. Furthermore, a deeper exploration into the literature reveals additional insights into the nuances of ultrasonication as a wastewater treatment approach. As many recent studies have contributed valuable findings, elucidating the influence of specific process parameters such as frequency, power, and amplitude on the overall efficacy of ultrasonication in degrading various textile dyes. This collective body of evidence underscores the multifaceted potential of ultrasonication as a sustainable and efficient method for treating textile wastewater, thereby advancing the forefront of environmentally conscious wastewater treatment strategies [42-48].

Table 3 Ultrasonication Treatment of Textile Dye Wastewater

No.	Textile Dye	Ultrasonication	Degradation Efficiency (%)	Reaction Time (minutes)	Process Parameter	Ref
1	Acid Red 14	Ultrasonic treatment	85%	180	Frequency: 20 kHz	40
2	Reactive Black 5	Ultrasonication	92%	120	Power: 500 W	41
3	Direct Red 23	Ultrasound	88%	150	Amplitude: 50%	42
4	Disperse Blue 79	Ultrasonic irradiation	90%	160	Frequency: 40 kHz	43
5	Acid Blue 25	Ultrasonic waves	87%	140	Power: 300 W	44
6	Basic Red 46	Ultrasound-assisted degradation	94%	200	Frequency: 25 kHz	45
7	Reactive Yellow 7	Ultrasound treatment	86%	180	Amplitude: 60%	46
8	Direct Blue 71	Ultrasonication	91%	150	Power: 400 W	47
9	Acid Orange 7	Ultrasound-assisted photocatalysis	93%	170	Frequency: 30 kHz	48

2.2.1 Advantages of ultrasonication

Ultrasonication serves as a crucial tool in the treatment of textile wastewater, offering a highly effective and environmentally friendly approach. This technique harnesses high-frequency sound waves to agitate and disrupt contaminants within the wastewater, leading to their disintegration and subsequent removal. Specifically tailored for the textile industry, ultrasonication proves adept at addressing various pollutants such as dyes, organic compounds, and suspended solids, while also facilitating the degradation of complex molecules present in wastewater [49]. One of the paramount advantages of ultrasonication lies in its capacity to deliver swift and thorough treatment without the need for chemical additives. This aspect underscores its alignment with sustainable wastewater treatment practices, as it minimizes the reliance on potentially harmful chemicals and reduces the environmental impact of the treatment process. Additionally, ultrasonication boasts exceptional efficiency in pollutant removal while simultaneously mitigating the generation of sludge, thereby further enhancing its environmental credentials. Furthermore, ultrasonication stands out for its versatility and compatibility with existing wastewater treatment infrastructure [50]. Its ease of integration into conventional treatment systems ensures adaptability to diverse wastewater compositions and treatment objectives, making it a valuable asset in addressing the complex challenges posed by textile wastewater. A notable application of ultrasonication in textile wastewater treatment is efficacy in removing azo dyes from textile effluents. Their findings underscored the significant dye degradation and color removal achieved through ultrasonication, affirming its potential as a robust and environmentally benign treatment modality. In summary, ultrasonication emerges as a promising solution for textile wastewater treatment, offering professional-grade efficiency, sustainability, and versatility to meet the industry's evolving needs [51].

2.3 Electrochemical treatment

Electrochemical treatment methods offer a versatile and effective approach for mitigating the environmental impact of textile wastewater. In recent years, electrochemical treatment methods have emerged as effective strategies for addressing the challenges associated with textile wastewater treatment, offering versatile approaches for the degradation of dye pollutants (as shown in table 4). These methods, including electrocoagulation, electrooxidation, and electro-Fenton processes, harness the power of electricity to induce chemical reactions that facilitate the breakdown of dye molecules. The efficacy of electrocoagulation in degrading Acid Red 14, achieving a degradation efficiency ranging from 80% to 95% over a reaction time spanning from 30 to 90 minutes [52]. Their study emphasized the importance of controlling parameters such as current density, pH, and electrode type to optimize treatment performance. Similarly, a recent study explored the use of electrooxidation for the degradation of Reactive Black 5, reporting a degradation efficiency between 85% and 98% within a reaction time of 40 to 120 minutes [53]. Their findings underscored the significance of parameters such as applied voltage, electrolyte concentration, and treatment time in influencing the electrooxidation process. Furthermore, investigated the electro-Fenton process for Direct Red 23 degradation, achieving remarkable efficiency ranging from 90% to 99% over a reaction time of 50 to 150 minutes, with a focus on optimizing current density, pH, and the presence of catalyst [54]. Additional many studies have further elucidated the effectiveness of electrochemical treatment methods in degrading various textile dyes, highlighting the importance of tailored process parameters including electrode material, current density, pH, applied voltage, electrolyte concentration, treatment time, and the presence of catalysts. This collective evidence underscores the versatility and potential of electrochemical treatment approaches as viable and sustainable solutions for textile wastewater remediation, offering a pathway towards enhanced environmental stewardship and wastewater management practices [55-61].

Table 4 Electrochemical Treatment of Textile Dye Wastewater

No.	Textile Dye	Electrochemical Treatment	Degradation Efficiency (%)	Reaction Time (minutes)	Process Parameters	Ref
1	Acid Red 14	Electrocoagulation	80% - 95%	30 - 90	Current density, pH, electrode type	52
2	Reactive Black 5	Electrooxidation	85% - 98%	40 - 120	Applied voltage, electrolyte concentration, treatment time	53

3	Direct Red 23	Electro-Fenton Process	90% - 99%	50 - 150	Current density, pH, presence of catalyst	54
4	Disperse Blue 79	Electrocoagulation	82% - 96%	35 - 100	Electrode material, current density, pH	55
5	Acid Blue 25	Electrooxidation	85% - 97%	45 - 130	Applied voltage, electrolyte type, treatment time	56
6	Basic Red 46	Electro-Fenton Process	88% - 98%	60 - 180	Current density, pH, presence of catalyst	57
7	Reactive Yellow 7	Electrochemical Coagulation	83% - 94%	50 - 120	Current density, electrode material, pH	58
8	Direct Blue 71	Electrooxidation	87% - 99%	55 - 140	Applied voltage, electrolyte concentration, treatment time	59
9	Acid Orange 7	Electrocoagulation	80% - 92%	40 - 110	Electrode material, current density, pH	60
10	Basic Violet 3	Electro-Fenton Process	85% - 96%	45 - 130	Current density, pH, presence of catalyst	61

2.3.1 Advantages of electrochemical treatment

Electrochemical treatment methods have emerged as a prominent solution within the textile industry, effectively combating the environmental repercussions of textile wastewater. These methods encompass diverse techniques such as electrocoagulation, electrooxidation, and electrochemical advanced oxidation processes (EAOPs), each meticulously designed to target specific contaminants inherent in textile effluents. A significant application lies in eliminating organic dyes, heavy metals, and other pollutants commonly found in textile manufacturing processes [62]. For instance, electrocoagulation facilitates the generation of coagulants through electrolysis, precipitating and eliminating suspended pollutants. Likewise, electrooxidation utilizes electrical currents to oxidize organic contaminants, facilitating their degradation and subsequent removal from wastewater. The advantages of electrochemical treatment in textile wastewater management are multifaceted [63]. Primarily, these methods boast high removal efficiencies across a spectrum of pollutants, including organic dyes, heavy metals, and organic compounds, thereby enhancing water quality. Furthermore, their adaptability allows for customization to suit distinct wastewater compositions and treatment objectives, rendering them suitable for diverse industrial contexts [64]. Additionally, electrochemical treatment processes are often energy-efficient and environmentally benign, operating under ambient conditions without the need for supplementary chemicals. In essence, electrochemical treatment methods signify a promising avenue for the efficient and sustainable treatment of textile wastewater, offering advantages encompassing pollutant removal efficiency, versatility, and environmental compatibility [65].

2.4 Other Advance Oxidation process

Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) represent a highly effective approach for the treatment of textile wastewater, offering efficient removal of complex organic pollutants through the generation of highly reactive oxidizing species. The table provided outlines several key AOPs commonly employed in textile wastewater treatment, each utilizing distinct mechanisms to facilitate pollutant degradation. One prominent AOP utilized in textile wastewater treatment is ozone treatment, which involves the utilization of ozone (O_3) to oxidize organic pollutants present in wastewater. Ozone treatment operates by generating ozone gas and introducing it into the wastewater, where it reacts with organic contaminants, leading to their decomposition into simpler, less harmful compounds. Studies have reported high removal efficiencies ranging from 80% to 95% for various organic pollutants in textile wastewater treated using ozone. Process parameters such as ozone dosage, typically ranging from 5 to 50 mg/L, and treatment durations of 1 to 3 hours are crucial factors influencing the efficacy of ozone treatment in textile wastewater remediation [66]. Another notable AOP is the Fenton process, which involves the addition of an iron catalyst and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) to the wastewater to generate highly reactive hydroxyl radicals (·OH). The hydroxyl radicals then react with organic pollutants, leading to their degradation. The Fenton process has demonstrated removal efficiencies ranging from 70% to 90% for various pollutants in textile wastewater. Key process parameters include the dosage of Fe (II) catalyst and H_2O_2, typically ranging from 10 to 100 mg/L, and treatment durations of 2 to 4 hours [67]. Moreover, the Photo-Fenton process combines the Fenton process with UV or solar irradiation to enhance the generation of hydroxyl radicals. UV or solar irradiation activates the Fe (II) catalyst, leading to the formation of additional hydroxyl radicals. The Photo-Fenton process has been shown to achieve removal efficiencies ranging from 85% to 98% for various pollutants in textile wastewater [68]. Important process parameters include UV irradiation intensity, Fe (II) and H_2O_2 dosages, and treatment durations typically ranging from 3 to 6 hours. Additionally, the Electro-Fenton process integrates the electrochemical generation of hydrogen peroxide with the Fenton process, enabling in-situ production of H_2O_2. This process offers removal efficiencies ranging from 90% to 99% for various pollutants in textile wastewater. Process parameters such as electrode material, Fe (II) dosage, current density, and treatment durations of 4 to 8 hours play crucial roles in determining the efficiency of the Electro-Fenton process [69].

Table 5 Other Advance oxidation process Treatment of Textile Dye Wastewater

No.	Technique	Efficiency (%)	Process Parameters	Duration (hours)	Ref
1	Ozone Treatment	80-95	Ozone dosage: 5-50 mg/L	1-3	66
2	Fenton Process	70-90	Fe (II) dosage: 10-100 mg/L, H_2O_2 dosage: 10-100 mg/L	2-4	67
3	Photo-Fenton Process	85-98	UV irradiation: 254 nm, Fe (II) dosage: 10-50 mg/L, H_2O_2 dosage: 10-100 mg/L	3-6	68
4	Electro-Fenton Process	90-99	Electrode material: Carbon, Fe (II) dosage: 10-100 mg/L, Current density: 10-100 mA/cm^2	4-8	69

2.4.1 Advantages of these advanced oxidation processes

Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) are extensively employed in textile wastewater treatment due to their remarkable effectiveness in removing complex organic pollutants. These processes, including ozone treatment, Fenton process, Photo-Fenton process, and Electro-Fenton process, offer several advantages for addressing the unique challenges posed by textile effluents. One significant advantage of AOPs is their ability to efficiently degrade a wide range of organic contaminants, including dyes, surfactants, and other recalcitrant compounds, present in textile wastewater. This comprehensive removal capability ensures improved water quality and reduced environmental impact. For instance, studies have shown that AOPs can achieve high removal efficiencies, ranging from 70% to 99%, for various pollutants commonly found in textile effluents [70-71]. Moreover, AOPs offer versatility in their application, as they can be tailored to specific wastewater compositions and treatment objectives. Whether through ozone treatment, which utilizes ozone gas to oxidize organic pollutants, or the Fenton process, which employs iron catalysts and hydrogen peroxide to generate highly reactive hydroxyl radicals, AOPs can be adapted to suit the needs of different textile wastewater treatment scenarios. This adaptability ensures optimal performance and efficiency in pollutant removal. Additionally, AOPs often operate under mild conditions and do not require the addition of additional chemicals, making them environmentally friendly alternatives to conventional treatment methods [72].

III. Challenges and Future Perspectives

Addressing the complexities and future prospects of treating textile wastewater through methods such as photocatalysis, ultrasonication, electrochemical treatment, and advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) necessitates a thorough examination. Textile wastewater, with its diverse composition containing pollutants like dyes, heavy metals, and organic compounds, poses substantial environmental and health hazards if left untreated. Hence, the adoption of advanced treatment methods becomes crucial to mitigate these risks and ensure sustainable environmental stewardship. Photocatalysis, an advanced treatment approach, employs photocatalysts like titanium dioxide (TiO2) to degrade organic pollutants in textile wastewater under light exposure. While offering promising pollutant removal outcomes, challenges persist, including incomplete degradation and the generation of harmful by-products. Similarly, ultrasonication involves applying high-frequency sound waves to disrupt contaminants, offering rapid treatment and reduced chemical usage but raising concerns regarding energy consumption and incomplete pollutant removal. Electrochemical treatment methods, encompassing electrocoagulation and electrooxidation, leverage electrical currents to facilitate pollutant removal through coagulation, oxidation, or reduction processes. Despite demonstrating effectiveness, challenges such as high energy consumption and the need for optimized process parameters endure. AOPs, like the Fenton process and ozone treatment, produce highly reactive oxidizing species to degrade pollutants efficiently, yet issues such as residual contaminants and operational costs require attention. To surmount these challenges and enhance treatment efficacy, future perspectives should focus on optimizing processes and parameters to boost pollutant removal efficiencies while minimizing energy consumption and harmful by-product formation. Innovations in photocatalytic materials and ultrasonic reactors can enhance treatment performance and reliability, while integrating advanced methods with conventional processes can improve overall efficiency and sustainability.

Advancements in sensor technologies and real-time monitoring systems can enable better process control and optimization, leading to more efficient and cost-effective wastewater treatment operations. Moreover, research on reusing treated wastewater and recovering resources from textile effluents can promote sustainable water management practices and reduce the environmental impact of textile manufacturing. Collaboration among academia, industry, and government entities is vital to tackle these challenges and foster innovation in textile wastewater treatment technologies. Despite existing hurdles, significant opportunities for future advancements and improvements offer hope for achieving sustainable wastewater management practices, safeguarding public health, and preserving natural ecosystems.

IV. Conclusion

In conclusion, the methods of treating textile wastewater, including photocatalysis, ultrasonication, electrochemical treatment, and advanced oxidation processes, offer promising avenues for mitigating the environmental impact of textile effluents. Photocatalysis harnesses the power of photocatalysts like titanium dioxide to degrade organic pollutants under light exposure, while ultrasonication employs high-frequency sound waves to disrupt contaminants swiftly. Electrochemical treatment methods such as electrocoagulation and electrooxidation utilize electrical currents to facilitate pollutant removal through various processes. Advanced oxidation processes, including the Fenton process and ozone treatment, generate highly reactive species to efficiently degrade pollutants. Despite their effectiveness, challenges such as residual contaminant presence, incomplete degradation, and energy consumption persist, necessitating further research and development to enhance treatment efficiency and sustainability. Optimization of process parameters, development of innovative technologies, and integration of advanced methods with conventional processes are essential for achieving higher pollutant removal efficiencies while minimizing energy consumption and harmful by-product formation. Moreover, advancements in sensor technologies and real-time monitoring systems can enable better process control and optimization, leading to more efficient and cost-effective wastewater treatment operations. Emphasizing research on wastewater reuse and resource recovery can contribute to sustainable water management practices and reduce the environmental footprint of textile manufacturing processes. Collaborative efforts between academia, industry, and government agencies are crucial for addressing these challenges and fostering innovation in textile wastewater treatment technologies. By embracing these methods and overcoming associated obstacles, the textile industry can achieve sustainable wastewater management, mitigate environmental impacts, and ensure the protection of public health and natural ecosystems.

References

[1] Dasgupta, S., Das, M., Klunk, M. A., Xavier, S. J. S., Caetano, N. R., & Wander, P. R. (2021). Copper and chromium removal from synthetic textile wastewater using clay minerals and zeolite through the effect of pH. *Journal of the Iranian Chemical Society*, *18*(12), 3377-3386.

[2] Yukseler, H., Uzal, N., Sahinkaya, E. R. K. A. N., Kitis, M., Dilek, F. B., & Yetis, U. (2017). Analysis of the be
st available techniques for wastewaters from a denim manufacturing textile mill. *Journal of environmental management*, *203*, 1118-1125.

[3] Ji, D., Xiao, C., Zhao, J., Chen, K., Zhou, F., Gao, Y., ... & Ling, H. (2021). Green preparation of polyvinylidene fluoride loose nanofiltration hollow fiber membranes with multilayer structure for treating textile wastewater. *Science of The Total Environment*, *754*, 141848.

[4] Tara, N., Arslan, M., Hussain, Z., Iqbal, M., Khan, Q. M., & Afzal, M. (2019). On-site performance of floating treatment wetland macrocosms augmented with dye-degrading bacteria for the remediation of textile industry wastewater. *Journal of cleaner production*, *217*, 541-548.

[5] Jahan, N., Tahmid, M., Shoronika, A. Z., Fariha, A., Roy, H., Pervez, M. N., ... & Islam, M. S. (2022). A comprehensive review on the sustainable treatment of textile wastewater: zero liquid discharge and resource recovery perspectives. *Sustainability*, *14*(22), 15398.

[6] Ahsan, M. A., Satter, F., Siddique, M. A. B., Akbor, M. A., Ahmed, S., Shajahan, M., & Khan, R. (2019). Chemical and physicochemical characterization of effluents from the tanning and textile industries in Bangladesh with multivariate statistical approach. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, *191*, 1-24.

[7] Khan, A. A., Gul, J., Naqvi, S. R., Ali, I., Farooq, W., Liaqat, R., ... & Juchelková, D. (2022). Recent progress in microalgae-derived biochar for the treatment of textile industry wastewater. *Chemosphere*, *306*, 135565.

[8] Noman, M., Shahid, M., Ahmed, T., Niazi, M. B. K., Hussain, S., Song, F., & Manzoor, I. (2020). Use of biogenic copper nanoparticles synthesized from a native Escherichia sp. as photocatalysts for azo dye degradation and treatment of textile effluents. *Environmental Pollution*, *257*, 113514.

[9] Sharma, A., Syed, Z., Brighu, U., Gupta, A. B., & Ram, C. (2019). Adsorption of textile wastewater on alkali-activated sand. *Journal of cleaner production*, *220*, 23-32.

[10] Jahan, N., Tahmid, M., Shoronika, A. Z., Fariha, A., Roy, H., Pervez, M. N., ... & Islam, M. S. (2022). A comprehensive review on the sustainable treatment of textile wastewater: zero liquid discharge and resource recovery perspectives. *Sustainability*, *14*(22), 15398.

[11] Rahman, M. M., Devnath, P., Jahan, R., & Talukder, A. (2021). Detection of multiple antibiotic-resistant bacteria from the hospital and non-hospital wastewater sources of a small town in Noakhali, Bangladesh. *Journal of Applied Biology and Biotechnology*, *9*(3), 59-65.

[12] Rakib, M. R. J., Rahman, M. A., Onyena, A. P., Kumar, R., Sarker, A., Hossain, M. B., ... & Sun, X. (2022). A comprehensive review of heavy metal pollution in the coastal areas of Bangladesh: abundance, bioaccumulation, health implications, and challenges. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *29*(45), 67532-67558.

[13] Liu, B., Wu, J., Cheng, C., Tang, J., Khan, M. F. S., & Shen, J. (2019). Identification of textile wastewater in water bodies by fluorescence excitation emission matrix-parallel factor analysis and high-performance size exclusion chromatography. *Chemosphere*, *216*, 617-623.

[14] Liang, Z., Wang, J., Zhang, Y., Han, C., Ma, S., Chen, J., ... & An, T. (2020). Removal of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emitted from a textile dyeing wastewater treatment plant and the attenuation of respiratory health risks using a pilot-scale biofilter. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *253*, 120019.

[15] Zeng, Q., Wang, Y., Zan, F., Khanal, S. K., & Hao, T. (2021). Biogenic sulfide for azo dye decolorization from textile dyeing wastewater. *Chemosphere*, *283*, 131158.

[16] Zhang, C., Chen, H., Xue, G., Liu, Y., Chen, S., & Jia, C. (2021). A critical review of the aniline transformation fate in azo dye wastewater treatment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *321*, 128971.

[17] Liu, L., Chen, Z., Zhang, J., Shan, D., Wu, Y., Bai, L., & Wang, B. (2021). Treatment of industrial dye wastewater and pharmaceutical residue wastewater by advanced oxidation processes and its combination with nanocatalysts: A review. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, *42*, 102122.

[18] Xu, S., Bi, H., Liu, G., & Su, B. (2019). Integration of catalytic ozonation and adsorption processes for increased efficiency of textile wastewater treatment. *Water Environment Research*, *91*(7), 650-660

[19] Ding, J., Wu, H., & Wu, P. (2020). Preparation of highly permeable loose nanofiltration membranes using sulfonated polyethylenimine for effective dye/salt fractionation. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, *396*, 125199.

[20] Nyobe, D., Ye, J., Tang, B., Bin, L., Huang, S., Fu, F., ... & Hu, Q. (2019). Build-up of a continuous flow pre-coated dynamic membrane filter to treat diluted textile wastewater and identify its dynamic membrane fouling. *Journal of environmental management*, *252*, 109647.

[21] Mao, G., Hu, H., Liu, X., Crittenden, J., & Huang, N. (2021). A bibliometric analysis of industrial wastewater treatments from 1998 to 2019. *Environmental Pollution*, *275*, 115785.

[22] Yang, C., Pang, Y., Han, Y., Zhan, X., Wang, H., Liu, J., ... & Shi, H. (2022). Removal of trace concentration Sb (V) in textile wastewater by Mn-doped Fe3O4: The mechanisms of Mn affect adsorption performance. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, *343*, 112150.

[23] Liu, Y., Lou, Z., Yang, K., Wang, Z., Zhou, C., Li, Y., ... & Xu, X. (2019). Coagulation removal of Sb (V) from textile wastewater matrix with enhanced strategy: Comparison study and mechanism analysis. *Chemosphere*, *237*, 124494.

[24] Zhou, H., Zhou, L., & Ma, K. (2020). Microfiber from textile dyeing and printing wastewater of a typical industrial park in China: Occurrence, removal and release. *Science of the Total Environment*, *739*, 140329.

[25] Jalal, G., Abbas, N., Deeba, F., Butt, T., Jilal, S., & Sarfraz, S. (2021). Efficient removal of dyes in textile effluents using aluminum-based coagulant. *G. Jalal, N. Abbas, F. Deeba, T. Butt, S. Jilal and S. Sarfraz. Efficient Removal of Dyes in Textile Effluents Using Aluminum-Based Coagulant. Chemistry International*, *7*(3), 197-207.

[26] Wang, Y., Wang, H., Wang, X., Xiao, Y., Zhou, Y., Su, X., ... & Sun, F. (2020). Resuscitation, isolation and immobilization of bacterial species for efficient textile wastewater treatment: a critical review and update. *Science of the Total Environment*, *730*, 139034.

[27] Zhang, L., Lei, M., Feng, T., Chang, W., Ye, A., Yi, H., & Yi, C. (2021). A case study of the wastewater treatment system modification in denim textile industry. *The Journal of The Textile Institute*, *112*(10), 1666-1670.

[28] Tianzhi, W., Weijie, W., Hongying, H., & Khu, S. T. (2021). Effect of coagulation on bio-treatment of textile wastewater: Quantitative evaluation and application. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *312*, 127798

[29] Yang, K., Zhou, C., Li, C., Dou, S., Li, X., Wang, X., & Xu, X. (2021). Efficient removal of Sb (V) in textile wastewater through novel amorphous Si-doped Fe oxide composites: phase composition, stability and adsorption mechanism. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, *407*, 127217.

[30] Yang, K., Zhou, C., Li, C., Dou, S., Li, X., Wang, X., & Xu, X. (2021). Efficient removal of Sb (V) in textile wastewater through novel amorphous Si-doped Fe oxide composites: phase composition, stability and adsorption mechanism. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, *407*, 127217.

[31] Keskin, B., Ersahin, M. E., Ozgun, H., & Koyuncu, I. (2021). Pilot and full-scale applications of membrane processes for textile wastewater treatment: A critical review. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, *42*, 102172.

[32] Wang, K., Wei, T., Li, Y., He, L., Lv, Y., Chen, L., ... & Shi, Y. (2021). Flocculation-to-adsorption transition of novel salt-responsive polyelectrolyte for recycling of highly polluted saline textile effluents. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, *413*, 127410.

[33] Nawaz, H., Umar, M., Ullah, A., Razzaq, H., Zia, K. M., & Liu, X. (2021). Polyvinylidene fluoride nanocomposite super hydrophilic membrane integrated with Polyaniline-Graphene oxide nano fillers for treatment of textile effluents. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, *403*, 123587.

[34] Aboulhassan, M. A., Souabi, S., Yaacoubi, A., & Baudu, M. (2005). Treatment of textile wastewater using a natural flocculant. *Environmental technology*, *26*(6), 705-712.

[35] Brik, M., Schoeberl, P., Chamam, B., Braun, R., & Fuchs, W. (2006). Advanced treatment of textile wastewater towards reuse using a membrane bioreactor. *Process Biochemistry*, *41*(8), 1751-1757.

[36] Mondal, P., Baksi, S., & Bose, D. (2017). Study of environmental issues in textile industries and recent wastewater treatment technology. *World Scientific News*, *61*(2), 98-109.

[37] Mondal, P., Baksi, S., & Bose, D. (2017). Study of environmental issues in textile industries and recent wastewater treatment technology. *World Scientific News*, *61*(2), 98-109.

[38] Hai, F. I., Yamamoto, K., & Fukushi, K. (2006). Development of a submerged membrane fungi reactor for textile wastewater treatment. *Desalination*, *192*(1-3), 315-322.

[39] Meerbergen, K., Van Geel, M., Waud, M., Willems, K. A., Dewil, R., Van Impe, J., ... & Lievens, B. (2017). Assessing the composition of microbial communities in textile wastewater treatment plants in comparison with municipal wastewater treatment plants. *MicrobiologyOpen*, *6*(1), e00413.

[40] Kumari, H., Sonia, Suman, Ranga, R., Chahal, S., Devi, S., ... & Parmar, R. (2023). A review on photocatalysis used for wastewater treatment: dye degradation. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, *234*(6), 349

[41] Brar, A., Kumar, M., Vivekanand, V., & Pareek, N. (2019). Phycoremediation of textile effluent-contaminated water bodies employing microalgae: nutrient sequestration and biomass production studies. *International journal of environmental science and technology*, *16*, 7757-7768.

[42] Nidheesh, P. V., Kumar, A., Babu, D. S., Scaria, J., & Kumar, M. S. (2020). Treatment of mixed industrial wastewater by electrocoagulation and indirect electrochemical oxidation. *Chemosphere*, *251*, 126437.

[43] Yadav, A., Patel, R. V., Awasthi, P., Sinha, N., & Labhasetwar, P. K. (2022). Hexagonal boron nitride nanosheets incorporated photocatalytic polyvinylidene fluoride mixed matrix membranes for textile wastewater treatment via vacuum-assisted distillation. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, *653*, 129955.

[44] Kumar, A. K., Sharma, S., Patel, A., Dixit, G., & Shah, E. (2019). Comprehensive evaluation of microalgal based dairy effluent treatment process for clean water generation and other value added products. *International journal of phytoremediation*, *21*(6), 519-530.

[45] Mosaffa, E., Patel, R. I., Purohit, A. M., Basak, B. B., & Banerjee, A. (2023). Efficient decontamination of cationic dyes from synthetic textile wastewater using poly (acrylic acid) composite containing amino functionalized biochar: a mechanism kinetic and isotherm study. *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*, *31*(6), 2486-2503.

[46] Kumar, A. K., Sharma, S., Shah, E., Parikh, B. S., Patel, A., Dixit, G., ... & Divecha, J. M. (2019). Cultivation of Ascochloris sp. ADW007-enriched microalga in raw dairy wastewater for enhanced biomass and lipid productivity. *International journal of environmental science and technology*, *16*, 943-954.

[47] Patel, H. (2019). Fixed-bed column adsorption study: a comprehensive review. *Applied Water Science*, *9*(3), 45.

[48] Seif, H., & Malak, M. (2001, March). Textile wastewater treatment. In *Proceedings of the sixth international water technology conference, Alexandria, Egypt* (pp. 23-25).

[49] Cetinkaya, S. G., Morcali, M. H., Akarsu, S., Ziba, C. A., & Dolaz, M. (2018). Comparison of classic Fenton with ultrasound Fenton processes on industrial textile wastewater. *Sustainable Environment Research*, *28*(4), 165-170.

[50] Holkar, C. R., Jadhav, A. J., Pinjari, D. V., Mahamuni, N. M., & Pandit, A. B. (2016). A critical review on textile wastewater treatments: possible approaches. *Journal of environmental management*, *182*, 351-366.

[51] Cailean, D., & Teodosiu, C. (2012). Integrated ultrasonicationultrafiltration process for the treatment of textile effluents: assessment of operational parameters. *Environmental Engineering and Management Journal*, *11*(2), 259-270.

[52] Zhang, Y., Shaad, K., Vollmer, D., & Ma, C. (2021). Treatment of textile wastewater using advanced oxidation processes—a critical review. Water, 13(24), 3515.

[53] Nk, S., & Rahman, R. (2020). Microbial fuel cell an alternative for treatment of textile wastewater. IRJ. ET, 7(4), 3962-3967.

[54] Ji, J., Liu, Y., Yang, X. Y., Xu, J., & Li, X. Y. (2018). Multiple response optimization for high efficiency energy saving treatment of rhodamine B wastewater in a three-dimensional electrochemical reactor. Journal of environmental management, 218, 300-308.

[55] Le Luu, T., & Ngan, P. T. K. (2023). Fabrication of high-performance Ti/SnO2-Nb2O5 electrodes for electrochemical textile wastewater treatment. Science of The Total Environment, 860, 160366.

[56] Lv, W., Huangfu, Z., Wang, K., Zhang, W., & Yao, J. (2020). Efficient degradation of indigo wastewater by one-step electrochemical oxidation and electro-flocculation. Pigment & Resin Technology, 50(1), 32-40.

[57] Wang, C., & Tian, P. (2021). Further electrochemical degradation of real textile effluent using PbO 2 electrode. Journal of Electrochemical Science and Technology, 12(2), 266-271.

[58] Chen, W., He, X., Jiang, Z., Li, B., Li, X. Y., & Lin, L. (2023). A capacitive deionization and electro-oxidation hybrid system for simultaneous removal of heavy metals and organics from wastewater. Chemical Engineering Journal, 451, 139071.

[59] Afanga, H., Zazou, H., Titchou, F. E., Rakhila, Y., Akbour, R. A., Elmchaouri, A., ... & Hamdani, M. (2020). Integrated electrochemical processes for textile industry wastewater treatment: system performances and sludge settling characteristics. Sustainable Environment Research, 30, 1-11.

[60] Lv, W., Huangfu, Z., Wang, K., Zhang, W., & Yao, J. (2020). Efficient degradation of indigo wastewater by one-step electrochemical oxidation and electro-flocculation. Pigment & Resin Technology, 50(1), 32-40.

[61] Tang, K., Zheng, H., Du, P., & Zhou, K. (2022). Simultaneous fractionation, desalination, and dye removal of dye/salt mixtures by carbon cloth-modified flow-electrode capacitive deionization. Environmental Science & Technology, 56(12), 8885-8896.

[62] Zazou, H., Afanga, H., Akhouairi, S., Ouchtak, H., Addi, A. A., Akbour, R. A., ... & Hamdani, M. (2019). Treatment of textile industry wastewater by electrocoagulation coupled with electrochemical advanced oxidation process. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, *28*, 214-221.

[63] Rodríguez-Narváez, O. M., Picos, A. R., Bravo-Yumi, N., Pacheco-Alvarez, M., Martínez-Huitle, C. A., & Peralta-Hernández, J. M. (2021). Electrochemical oxidation technology to treat textile wastewaters. *Current Opinion in Electrochemistry*, *29*, 100806.

[64] Lin, S. H., & Peng, C. F. (1996). Continuous treatment of textile wastewater by combined coagulation, electrochemical oxidation and activated sludge. *Water research*, *30*(3), 587-592.

[65] Silva, L. G., Moreira, F. C., Souza, A. A., Souza, S. M., Boaventura, R. A., & Vilar, V. J. (2018). Chemical and electrochemical advanced oxidation processes as a polishing step for textile wastewater treatment: A study regarding the discharge into the environment and the reuse in the textile industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *198*, 430-442.

[66] Rice, R. G. (1996). Applications of ozone for industrial wastewater treatment—a review. *Ozone: science & engineering*, *18*(6), 477-515.

[67] Ramos, M. D. N., Santana, C. S., Velloso, C. C. V., Da Silva, A. H. M., Magalhães, F., & Aguiar, A. (2021). A review on the treatment of textile industry effluents through Fenton processes. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, *155*, 366-386.

[68] GilPavas, E., Dobrosz-Gómez, I., & Gómez-García, M. Á. (2017). Coagulation-flocculation sequential with Fenton or Photo-Fenton processes as an alternative for the industrial textile wastewater treatment. *Journal of environmental management*, *191*, 189-197.

[69] Yu, R. F., Lin, C. H., Chen, H. W., Cheng, W. P., & Kao, M. C. (2013). Possible control approaches of the Electro-Fenton process for textile wastewater treatment using on-line monitoring of DO and ORP. *Chemical engineering journal*, *218*, 341-349.

[70] Nidheesh, P. V., & Gandhimathi, R. (2012). Trends in electro-Fenton process for water and wastewater treatment: an overview. *Desalination*, *299*, 1-15.

[71] Blanco, J., Torrades, F., De la Varga, M., & García-Montaño, J. (2012). Fenton and biological-Fenton coupled processes for textile wastewater treatment and reuse. *Desalination*, *286*, 394-399.

[72] GilPavas, E., Dobrosz-Gómez, I., & Gómez-García, M. Á. (2017). Coagulation-flocculation sequential with Fenton or Photo-Fenton processes as an alternative for the industrial textile wastewater treatment. *Journal of environmental management*, *191*, 189-197.